#### STATE OF ILLINOIS CHICAGO STATE UNIVERSITY COMPLIANCE EXAMINATION

(In Accordance with the Single Audit Act and OMB Circular A-133)

FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2006

Performed as Special Assistant Auditors for the Auditor General, State of Illinois

#### STATE OF ILLINOIS CHICAGO STATE UNIVERSITY COMPLIANCE EXAMINATION

(In Accordance With the Single Audit Act and OMB Circular A-133)

#### For the Year Ended June 30, 2006

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1 3 4
4
•
6
_
8
^
0
2
_
4
6
8
2
4
4

Financial Statements (Have been issued under separate cover)

#### STATE OF ILLINOIS CHICAGO STATE UNIVERSITY COMPLIANCE EXAMINATION

(In Accordance With the Single Audit Act and OMB Circular A-133)

#### For the Year Ended June 30, 2006

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page No.
Supplementary Information for State Compliance Purposes	
Summary	35
Fiscal Schedules and Analysis	
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	36
Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	39
Schedule of Appropriations, Expenditures and Lapsed Balances	40
Comparative Schedule of Net Appropriations, Expenditures and	
Lapsed Balances	41
Comparative Schedule of Income Fund Revenues and Expenditures	42
Schedule of Changes in State Property	43
Analysis of Significant Variations in Revenues and Expenses	44
Comparative Schedule of Cash, Temporary Cash Investments, and Investments	>
- at Market Value	45
Analysis of Significant Variations in Asset and Liability Accounts	46
Analysis of Significant Lapse Period Expenditures	46
Analysis of Accounts Receivable	47
Schedule of Sources and Applications of Indirect Cost Recoveries	48
Analysis of Operations	
Agency Functions and Planning Program	49
Average Number of Employees (Unaudited)	52
Comparative Enrollment Statistics (Unaudited)	52
Statistical Summary (Unaudited)	54
Comparative Schedule of Unrestricted Current Fund Expenditures per	
Full-time Equivalent Students as Reported to the Board of	
Higher Education (Unaudited)	55
Emergency Purchases	56
Illinois First Program	56
Bookstore Information (Unaudited)	56
Schedule of Federal and Nonfederal Expenditures	57
Schedule of Degrees Conferred (Unaudited)	58
Schedule of Tuition and Fee Waivers (Unaudited)	59
Special Data Requirements for Audits of Universities	
University Reporting in Accordance With University Guidelines	61
Schedule of Indirect Cost Funds to be Deposited into the University	
Income Fund as Required by 1982 University Guidelines (1997 Amended)	64
Schedule of Excess Funds Calculation by Entity as required by	
1982 University Guidelines (1997 Amended)	65
Entities' Financial Statements	
Balance Sheets	66
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Transfers-Current Unrestricted Fur	
Statement of Changes in Fund Balances – Current Unrestricted Funds	68
Statement of Changes in Fund Balances  Plant Funds	69
Summary of Foundation Cash Support to the University	70

### STATE OF ILLINOIS CHICAGO STATE UNIVERSITY

#### **AGENCY OFFICIALS**

#### **CHICAGO STATE UNIVERSITY**

President

Dr. Elnora D. Daniel

Vice President Administration and Financial Affairs

Mr. Alan D. Robertson, CPA

**Director of Accounting** 

Mr. Jamshaid Nasim

Director of Internal Audit

Mr. John C. Meehan

Agency offices are located at:

9501 South Martin Luther King Drive Chicago, IL 60628

### CHICAGO STATE UNIVERSITY

Office of the Vice President of Administration and Financial Affairs

9501 S. King Drive / ADM 304 Chicago, Illinois 60628-1598 TEL 773.995.2042 • FAX 773.995.2976

December 18, 2006

Nykiel, Carlin & Co., Ltd. Certified Public Accountants 200 E. Court St., Suite 608 Kankakee, IL 60901

#### Ladies and Gentlemen:

We are responsible for the identification of, and compliance with, all aspects of laws, regulations, contracts, or grant agreements that could have a material effect on the operations of Chicago State University. We are responsible for and we have established and maintained an effective system of, internal controls over compliance requirements. We have performed an evaluation of Chicago State University's compliance with the following assertions during the year ended June 30, 2006. Based on this evaluation, we assert that during the year ended June 30, 2006, Chicago State University has materially complied with the assertions below.

- A. Chicago State University has obligated, expended, received and used public funds of the State in accordance with the purpose for which such funds have been appropriated or otherwise authorized by law.
- B. Chicago State University has obligated, expended, received and used public funds of the State in accordance with any limitations, restrictions, conditions or mandatory directions imposed by law upon such obligation, expenditure, receipt or use.
- C. Chicago State University has complied, in all material respects, with applicable laws and regulations, including the State uniform accounting system, in its financial and fiscal operations.
- D. The State revenues and receipts collected by Chicago State University are in accordance with applicable laws and regulations and the accounting and recordkeeping of such revenues and receipts is fair, accurate and in accordance with law.
- E. The money or negotiable securities or similar assets handled by Chicago State University on behalf of the State or held in trust by the agency have been properly and legally administered, and the accounting and recordkeeping relating thereto is proper, accurate and in accordance with law.

Yours very truly,

Chigago State University

Elnora D. Daniel, Presiden

Man D. Robertson, Interim Vice President of Administration and Financial Affairs

Nancy K. Hall-Walker, Vice President and General Counsel, Labor & Legal Affairs

### STATE OF ILLINOIS CHICAGO STATE UNIVERSITY

#### **COMPLIANCE REPORT**

#### **SUMMARY**

The compliance testing performed in this examination was conducted in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and in accordance with the Illinois State Auditing Act.

#### **ACCOUNTANTS' REPORTS**

The Independent Accountants' Report on State Compliance, on Internal Control Over Compliance and on Supplementary Information for State Compliance Purposes does not contain scope limitations, disclaimers or other significant non-standard language.

#### **SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

Number of	This Report	Prior Report
Findings	12	9
Repeated findings	5	3
Prior recommendations implemented		
or not repeated	4	2

Details of findings are presented in a separately tabbed report section.

Item No.	<u>Page</u>	SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS  Description
06-1	16	FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS) Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) Not Properly Applied to Voluntary Nonexchange Transactions
06-2	17	Inaccurate Reporting and Accounting of Accrued Compensated Absences
06-3 06-4	18 21	FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (FEDERAL COMPLIANCE) Inadequate Documentation for Federal Programs Overaward/Overpayment of Student Financial Aid
06-5 06-6	22 25	FINDINGS (STATE COMPLIANCE) Employee Travel and Use of University Credit Card Internal Controls Over Contracts
06-7	26	Time Sheets not Maintained in Compliance with the State Officials and Employees Ethics Act
06-8	27	Noncompliance with Travel Regulations
06-9	29	Inadequate Controls Over Property and Equipment Records
06-10	31	Failure to Include Taxable Fringe Benefits in Employee's Reported Income
06-11	32	Failure to File Contracts with the State Comptroller
06-12	33	Noncompliance with Contractual Service Regulations
06-13	34	PRIOR FINDINGS NOT REPEATED (FEDERAL COMPLIANCE)
06-13	34 34	Failure to Provide Matching Funds for Federal Program Inadequate Control Procedures to Notify Pass-through Grantors of Audit Results
00-14	J <del>4</del>	madequate Control Procedures to Notify Pass-tillough Grantors of Addit Results

### STATE OF ILLINOIS CHICAGO STATE UNIVERSITY

#### **COMPLIANCE REPORT**

#### SUMMARY (continued)

		PRIOR FINDINGS NOT REPEATED (STATE COMPLIANCE)
06-15	34	Failure to Complete Ethics Training within Six Months of Employment
06-16	34	Noncompliance with the University Facilities Revenue Bond Indenture

#### **EXIT CONFERENCE**

The findings and recommendations appearing in this report were discussed with University personnel at an exit conference on April 5, 2007. Attending were:

Representing Chicago State University President Vice President Administration and Financial Affairs Associate Vice President Sponsored Programs Director of Internal Audit Director of Accounting Interim Vice President of Budget, Facilities and Risk Management Chief Information Officer	Dr. Elnora Daniel Dr. Alan D. Robertson, CPA Mr. Kenneth Koroma Mr. John C. Meehan Mr. Jamshaid Nasim Dr. Dean Justmann Ms. Diane Conatser
Representing Nykiel, Carlin & Co., Ltd. Principal Senior Accountant	Mr. Paul A. Pelletier, CPA Mr. Robert Knisley
Representing the Office of the Auditor General	

Responses to the recommendations were provided by Mr. Jamshaid Nasim in an e-mail dated April 13, 2007.

Mr. Thomas L. Kizziah, CPA

Audit Manager

### INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON STATE COMPLIANCE, ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE, AND ON SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION FOR STATE COMPLIANCE PURPOSES

Honorable William G. Holland Auditor General State of Illinois

#### Compliance

As Special Assistant Auditors for the Auditor General, we have examined Chicago State University's compliance with the requirements listed below, as more fully described in the Audit Guide for Financial Audits and Compliance Attestation Engagements of Illinois State Agencies (Audit Guide) as adopted by the Auditor General, during the year ended June 30, 2006. The management of Chicago State University is responsible for compliance with these requirements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on Chicago State University's compliance based on our examination.

- A. Chicago State University has obligated, expended, received, and used public funds of the State in accordance with the purpose for which such funds have been appropriated or otherwise authorized by law.
- B. Chicago State University has obligated, expended, received, and used public funds of the State in accordance with any limitations, restrictions, conditions or mandatory directions imposed by law upon such obligation, expenditure, receipt or use.
- C. Chicago State University has complied, in all material respects, with applicable laws and regulations, including the State uniform accounting system, in its financial and fiscal operations.
- D. The State revenues and receipts collected by Chicago State University are in accordance with applicable laws and regulations and the accounting and recordkeeping of such revenues and receipts is fair, accurate and in accordance with law.
- E. Money or negotiable securities or similar assets handled by Chicago State University on behalf of the State or held in trust by Chicago State University have been properly and legally administered and the accounting and recordkeeping relating thereto is proper, accurate, and in accordance with law.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants; the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; the Illinois State Auditing Act (Act); and the Audit Guide as adopted by the Auditor General pursuant to the Act; and, accordingly, included examining, on a test basis, evidence about Chicago State University's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our examination does not provide a legal determination on Chicago State University's compliance with specified requirements.

In our opinion, Chicago State University complied, in all material respects, with the aforementioned requirements during the year ended June 30, 2006. However, the results of our procedures disclosed instances of noncompliance with those requirements, which are required to be reported in accordance with criteria established by the Audit Guide, issued by the Illinois Office of the Auditor General and which are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as findings 06-1 thru 06-12. As required by the Audit Guide, immaterial findings relating to instances of noncompliance excluded from this report have been reported in a separate letter to your office.

#### **Internal Control**

The management of Chicago State University is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws and regulations. In planning and performing our examination, we considered Chicago State University's internal control over compliance with the aforementioned requirements in order to determine our examination procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Audit Guide, issued by the Illinois Office of the Auditor General.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance with the aforementioned requirements would not necessarily disclose all matters in internal control that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that noncompliance with applicable requirements of laws and regulations that would be material in relation to one or more of the aforementioned requirements being examined may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, the results of our procedures disclosed other matters involving internal control which are required to be reported in accordance with criteria established by the Audit Guide, issued by the Illinois Office of the Auditor General and which are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as findings 06-1 thru 06-12. As required by the Audit Guide, immaterial findings relating to internal control deficiencies excluded from this report have been reported in a separate letter to your office.

#### Supplementary Information for State Compliance Purposes

As Special Assistant Auditors for the Auditor General, we have audited the basic financial statements of Chicago State University and its discretely presented component unit, collectively a component unit of the State of Illinois, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2006, and have issued our report thereon dated December 18, 2006. The accompanying supplementary information, as listed in the table of contents as Supplementary Information for State Compliance Purposes, is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements of Chicago State University. The 2006 Supplementary Information for State Compliance Purposes, except for that portion marked "unaudited" on which we express no opinion, has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements for the year ended June 30, 2006 taken as a whole. We have also previously audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the basic financial statements of Chicago State University and its discretely presented component unit, for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004. In our reports dated December 20, 2005 and December 22, 2004, we expressed unqualified opinions on the basic financial statements. In our opinion, the 2005 and 2004 Supplementary Information for State Compliance Purposes, except for that portion marked "unaudited", is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements for the years ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, taken as a whole.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Auditor General, the General Assembly, the Legislative Audit Commission, the Governor, and agency management, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

NYKIEL, CARLIN & CO., LTD.

Prfiel, Carlin + Wo., Itd.

Kankakee, Illinois

December 18, 2006

### REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Honorable William G. Holland Auditor General State of Illinois

As Special Assistant Auditors for the Auditor General, we have audited the basic financial statements of Chicago State University and its discretely presented component unit, collectively a component unit of the State of Illinois, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2006, and have issued our report thereon dated December 18, 2006. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

#### Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered Chicago State University's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting. However, we noted certain matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be reportable conditions. Reportable conditions involve matters coming to our attention relating to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the internal control over financial reporting that, in our judgment, could adversely affect Chicago State University's ability to record, process, summarize, and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in the financial statements. Reportable conditions are described in the accompanying schedule of findings as item 06-1 and 06-2.

A material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements caused by error or fraud in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be reportable conditions, and accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all reportable conditions that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, we believe that none of the reportable conditions described above is a material weakness. However, we noted certain deficiencies in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which do not meet the criteria for reporting herein and which are reported as State compliance findings in the schedule of findings. We also noted certain immaterial instances of internal control deficiencies, which we have reported to management of the State of Illinois, Chicago State University in a separate letter dated December 18, 2006.

#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Chicago State University's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*. However, we noted certain matters which are reported as State corripliance findings in the schedule of findings. We also noted certain other matters which we have reported to management of the State of Illinois, Chicago State University in a separate letter dated December 18, 2006.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Auditor General, the General Assembly, the Legislative Audit Commission, the Governor, Agency management, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

NYKIEL, CARLIN & CO., LTD.

Mykiel, Carlin + Co., Ital.

Kankakee, Illinois

December 18, 2006

### REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133

Honorable William G. Holland Auditor General State of Illinois

#### Compliance

We have audited the compliance of Chicago State University with the types of compliance requirements described in the *U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2006. Chicago State University's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of Chicago State University's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on Chicago State University's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about Chicago State University's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of Chicago State University's compliance with those requirements.

As described in item 06-3 in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs, Chicago State University did not comply with requirements regarding allowable costs / cost principles and equipment and real property management that are applicable to its Textbooks and Learning Materials for South African Primary Schools program, and did not comply with requirements regarding special tests and provisions that are applicable to its Nursing Student Loans program. Compliance with such requirements is necessary, in our opinion, for Chicago State University to comply with the requirements applicable to these programs.

In our opinion, except for the noncompliance described in the preceding paragraph, Chicago State University complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2006. The results of our auditing procedures also disclosed other instances of noncompliance with those requirements, which are required to be reported in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 and which are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 06-4.

#### Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of Chicago State University is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered Chicago State University's internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133.

We noted certain matters involving the internal control over compliance and its operation that we consider to be reportable conditions. Reportable conditions involve matters coming to our attention relating to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the internal control over compliance that, in our judgment, could adversely affect Chicago State University's ability to administer a major federal program in accordance with the applicable requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants. Reportable conditions are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as items 06-3 and 06-4.

A material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that noncompliance with the applicable requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants caused by error or fraud that could be material in relation to a major federal program being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. Our consideration of the internal control over compliance would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be reportable conditions and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all reportable conditions that are also considered to be material weaknesses. Of the reportable conditions noted above, we believe that item 06-3 is a material weakness.

#### Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

We have audited the basic financial statements of Chicago State University and its discretely presented component unit, collectively a component unit of the State of Illinois, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2006, and have issued our report thereon dated December 18, 2006. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise Chicago State University's basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Auditor General, the General Assembly, the Legislative Audit Commission, the Governor, Agency management, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

NYKIEL, CARLIN & CO., LTD.

Mykiel, Carlin & Co., Ital.

Kankakee, Illinois

December 18, 2006

# STATE OF ILLINOIS CHICAGO STATE UNIVERSITY SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2006

#### I. SUMMARY OF AUDITORS' RESULTS

Financial Statements			
Type of auditors' report issued: unqualified			
<ul> <li>Reportable condition(s) identified that are not</li> </ul>	S X no S none reported		
Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? yes	s <u>X</u> no		
Federal Awards			
<ul> <li>Reportable condition(s) identified that are not</li> </ul>	s no s none reported		
Type of auditors' report issued on compliance for major programs: qualified	ed		
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with section 510(a) of Circular A-133?  X ye	s no		
Identification of major programs:			
Student Financial Aid Cluster Federal Work Study Program Federal Pell Grant Program Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants Federal Perkins Loan Program Federal Family Education Loans Nursing Student Loans Scholarships for Health Professions Students from Disadvantaged Backgrounds	CFDA No.  84.033 84.063  84.007 84.038 84.032 93.364  93.925		
TRIO Cluster Student Support Services Upward Bound Educational Opportunity Centers  Research & Development Cluster Biomedical Research and Research Training Mental Health Research Grants Education and Human Resources Engineering Grants Biological Sciences Educational Partnership Program Child Health and Human Development Extramural Research Basic Scientific Research	84.042 84.047 84.066 93.859 93.242 47.076 47.041 47.074 11.481 93.865 12.431		

# STATE OF ILLINOIS CHICAGO STATE UNIVERSITY SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2005

I.	SUMMARY OF AUDITORS' RESULTS (continued)	
	Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs:	\$ <u>714,502</u>
	Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?	yesxno
II.	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FINDINGS See Findings 06-1 and 06-2	
III.	FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS See Findings 06-3, and 06-4	

#### **CURRENT FINDINGS – GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS**

### 06-1 <u>FINDING:</u> GENERALLY ACCEPTED ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES (GAAP) NOT PROPERLY APPLIED TO VOLUNTARY NONEXCHANGE TRANSACTIONS

Chicago State University (University) did not properly interpret and apply Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 33 Accounting and Financial Reporting for Nonexchange Transactions.

During our audit, we requested documentation to support the 6 largest grant revenue deferrals included in the University's financial statements. Upon review of the grant agreements provided along with related documentation, we noted that 5 of these agreements did not stipulate an eligibility requirement. Therefore, revenue should have been recognized and not deferred for these voluntary nonexchange transactions. The sixth grant did have an eligibility requirement that had not been met, however, the University had already obtained the funds from the grantor even though the program was required to be run on the reimbursement basis. Further, this program had not incurred qualifying expenditures. The actual error identified was \$291,883. The auditors have recorded a passed adjustment of the projected error in the amount of \$584,602.

Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) for governmental entities is promulgated by GASB. GASB Statement No. 33 requires revenue recognition for voluntary nonexchange transactions when all applicable eligibility requirements have been met, and the remaining resources are reported as restricted net assets until consumed.

University officials indicated that the grant accountants' interpretation of the grant agreements was that purpose restrictions were eligibility requirements so the advance payments were deferred.

Failure to maintain accounting records and present financial reports in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, distorts the net assets of the University and the State. (Finding Code No. 06-1)

#### **RECOMMENDATION**

We recommend the University improve its system for identifying eligibility requirements for voluntary nonexchange transactions and properly account for such transactions in accordance with GAAP.

#### **UNIVERSITY RESPONSE**

We agree with the recommendation. The University will adopt measures to fully implement GASB-33. The University will develop appropriate channels of communication and periodic management reports for all grant agreements to properly identify and apply the appropriate revenue recognition criteria per GASB 33. The University believes this situation will be corrected and this finding will not recur.

#### **CURRENT FINDINGS - GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS**

#### 06-2 FINDING: INACCURATE REPORTING AND ACCOUNTING OF ACCRUED COMPENSATED ABSENCES

Chicago State University (University) did not properly account for compensated absences of employees of the University due to inaccurate time reporting and untimely filing of time sheets.

We judgmentally selected 10 employees of the University and noted discrepancies with four (40%) of their submitted records related to compensated absences reporting. We compared the days recorded on each employee's positive time reporting cards required by the Ethics Act to the sick, vacation, and non-cumulative sick time cards submitted to payroll and noted the following discrepancies:

- The accrued compensated absence usage reported on the positive time reporting did not agree to the usage reported to the payroll department on the sick, vacation, and non-cumulative sick time cards. (We were told that one employee's positive time reports were prepared by an assistant of the department and did not accurately reflect time.) The usage of these days reported to payroll were understated by 10 days (9 vacation days and 1 sick day), 21 days (20 vacation days and 1 sick day), 12.5 days (4 vacation days and 8.5 sick days), and 18 days (15 vacation days and 3 sick days), respectively. Therefore, the University's compensated absence schedules do not accurately reflect usage for certain employees.
- Several of the positive time reporting cards were not able to be obtained from the University.
   (None of the errors noted in the previous bullet include errors related to periods for which positive time reporting cards were not obtained.) Several of the positive time reporting cards were not turned in timely and some did not have a supervisor's signature included on them.

Good business practice requires the University to design control procedures over financial reporting to ensure that it can record, process, summarize and report financial data consistent with management's assertions. The negative time reporting system used for payroll purposes is not an adequate control.

University officials stated that the positive time reporting cards are designed to ensure compliance with the Ethics Act. The positive time reporting cards are not utilized to update compensated absences records as it will produce inaccurate results.

Failure to properly record time relating to compensated absences may cause the University's financial statements to be inaccurate. (Finding Code 06-2)

#### **RECOMMENDATION**

We recommend that the University implement a system of positive time reporting to be used for both payroll and Ethics Act purposes to ensure that time worked and compensated absences used are reported promptly and accurately.

#### **UNIVERSITY RESPONSE**

We agree with the recommendation. The University plans to automate the time reporting system to include posting of leave days earned and used on the leave records as payroll is processed. While the system is not yet in place, the leave records will be reviewed to ensure that they are accurately updated.

#### **CURRENT FINDINGS – FEDERAL**

#### 06-3 FINDING: INADEQUATE DOCUMENTATION FOR FEDERAL PROGRAMS

Chicago State University (University) did not maintain promissory notes related to the Nursing Student Loan Program and did not have supporting documentation and proper approvals for certain expenditures charged to Federal programs. Specifically, the University charged lodging and per diem rates in excess of rates allowed by State travel regulations, and charged expenditures to the wrong Federal program. Further, certain equipment purchased with Federal funds had not been properly recorded in University property control records.

Federal Department: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

CFDA Number: 93.364

**Program Name: Nursing Student Loans** 

Questioned Cost: \$43,689

We requested the University provide us with student promissory notes for the entire Nursing Student Loan Program that are still outstanding. The University was unable to provide the notes for the 54 students totaling \$43,689. These loans were originally awarded approximately 25 years ago.

Federal Department: U.S. Department of Education

CFDA Numbers: 84.042, 84.047, 84.066

Program Name: TRIO Cluster Questioned Cost: Undetermined

During our review of 40 expenditures, we noted the following:

 One of forty (3%) expenditures (\$3,497) was an advance payment of hotel costs (14 rooms for three nights (42 room nights) at \$74.00 per person per night plus 12.5% tax). However, the University never followed up with the hotel or obtained a final invoice.

Federal Department: National Science Foundation

CFDA Numbers: 47.076

Program Name: Research and Development Cluster (Education and Human Resources)

**Questioned Cost: Undetermined** 

During our review of 40 expenditures, we noted the following:

- One of forty (3%) expenditures (\$1,078) was not properly approved prior to travel being taken. Also, the travel voucher was submitted late (142 days after travel occurred).
- One of forty (3%) expenditures (\$1,561) was for hotel accommodations, which were charged at lodging rates of \$234 per night in Pittsburgh, PA, that exceeded the rates allowed by the State travel regulations of \$110 per night.

Federal Department: U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID)

CFDA Number: 98

Program Name: Textbook and Learning Materials for South African Primary Schools

**Questioned Cost: Undetermined** 

During our review of 40 expenditures, we noted the following:

- Three of forty (8%) expenditures (\$43,382) were for furniture and vehicles which were not properly recorded or tracked in the University's property control records.
- Four of forty (10%) expenditures (\$67,817), consisting primarily of travel prepayments, were missing the appropriate supporting documentation, final invoices, or were incomplete.

#### **CURRENT FINDINGS - FEDERAL**

#### 06-3 FINDING: INADEQUATE DOCUMENTATION FOR FEDERAL PROGRAM EXPENDITURES (Continued)

- Three of forty (8%) expenditures (\$5,282) were for prepaid per-diem in excess of the state allowed per diem reimbursement.
- One of forty (3%) expenditures (\$2,310) was incorrectly charged to the TLMP Grant Program.

The Code of Federal Regulations (42 CFR 57.308) requires each loan to be evidenced by a promissory note and the University to safeguard the promissory notes from fire, theft, and tampering.

OMB Circular A-110 Section 53(b) requires financial records, supporting documentation, statistical records, and other records pertinent to an award to be retained for a period of three years from the date of submission of the final expenditure report or, for awards, that are renewed quarterly or annually, from the date of the submission of the quarterly or annual financial report, as authorized by the Federal awarding agency.

OMB Circular A-110 Section 34(f) states that equipment records shall be maintained accurately and shall include the following information:

- A description of the equipment
- Identification number
- Source of equipment and award number
- Whether title vests in the recipient or the Federal government
- Acquisition date and cost
- Information in which Federal participation in the cost of the equipment
- Location and condition of the equipment and the date the information was reported
- Unit acquisition cost
- Ultimate disposition data, including the date of disposal and sales price or method used to determine current fair market value

OMB Circular A-21 Section J, Part 53, states that lodging costs must be reasonable and are allowable only to the extent such costs do not exceed charges normally allowed by the institution in its written travel policy.

OMB Circular A-21 section C, part 4 states that an allowable cost is one incurred solely to advance the work under the sponsored agreement.

University officials stated that the Nursing Student Loan Program dates back to the 1970s and 1980s and the promissory notes were misplaced due to turnover of staff handling student loans. There had been no new loans under the program from those dates. In addition, University officials stated that many of the remaining exceptions occurred during the early part of fiscal year 2006, before the University established control procedures.

Failure to maintain adequate documentation of the expenditure of Federal funds results in a lack of proper accountability, a possible refunding of Federal funds, and may jeopardize future Federal funding. (Finding Code Nos. 06-3, 05-1, 04-01, 03-1)

#### RECOMMENDATION

We recommend that the University improve control procedures to ensure that payments are only made once a proper invoice with appropriate documentation and approval is received, and maintain a

#### **CURRENT FINDINGS - FEDERAL**

#### 06-3 FINDING: INADEQUATE DOCUMENTATION FOR FEDERAL PROGRAM EXPENDITURES (Continued)

filing system that allows them to locate supporting documentation (including documentation of the necessity for any non-standard travel costs) for all invoices paid as well as student loan promissory notes. Further, the University should timely reconcile travel advances with actual invoices submitted by travelers and follow up on any exceptions noted and recoup any funds due to the University.

#### **UNIVERSITY RESPONSE**

We agree with the recommendation. The University had established control procedures to ensure that prepaid costs are subsequently compared to final actual invoices to ensure prepayments were proper. The University will develop procedures to ensure compliance with travel guidelines and exceptions properly explained and submitted to the Travel Control Board, where necessary. The vehicle was included on the schedule of Property and Equipment supporting the fixed assets reported on its financial statements. The University will arrange to reimburse the Department of Health and Human Services for these loans.

#### **CURRENT FINDINGS - FEDERAL**

#### 06-4 FINDING: OVERAWARD/OVERPAYMENT OF STUDENT FINANCIAL AID

Federal Department: U.S. Department of Education, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

CFDA Number: 84.038, 84.007, 84.032, 84.033, 93.925

Program Name: Student Financial Aid Cluster

Questioned Cost: \$39,048

Chicago State University (University) did not include scholarships received from other sources as part of student resources. The condition caused an over-award of student financial aid.

We selected a sample of 40 students who received scholarships from the Chicago State University Foundation. Of those 40 students, 31 were awarded Title IV student financial assistance by the University. We then performed tests to determine if those scholarships were included in the University's student needs analysis, and if not, did an overaward/overpayment of Title IV student financial assistance occur. We noted 11 students (45%) who were overawarded/overpaid \$39,048 of Title IV student financial assistance because the scholarships received from outside sources were not included in their calculation of financial need.

The Code of Federal Regulations (34 CFR 673.5) states that scholarships are to be included in a student's estimated financial assistance and an over-award exists when estimated financial assistance awarded exceeds need.

The University attributes this exception to lack of proper procedures needed to ensure that certain types of merit-based scholarships are reported and included as resources in the calculation of financial aid.

Failure to properly include scholarships as resources may cause students to be overawarded aid and may jeopardize future federal funding. (Finding Code No. 06-4).

#### RECOMMENDATION

We recommend the University correct their procedures to ensure that all financial aid received from outside scholarships are considered when determining students' financial need.

#### **UNIVERSITY RESPONSE**

The University agrees with the recommendation. The University will develop proper procedures to ensure that all financial aid received from outside scholarships are considered when determining students' financial need.

#### **CURRENT FINDINGS - STATE**

#### 06-5 FINDING: EMPLOYEE TRAVEL AND USE OF UNIVERSITY CREDIT CARD

Chicago State University (University) is subject to the travel policies issued by the Illinois Higher Education Travel Control Board (Board) and Department of Central Management Services Property Control Rules; however, we noted several instances where the University was not following these policies. We also noted numerous charges to the University credit card that were not supported by appropriate receipts and documentation.

During our testing of University credit card expenditures and employee travel documents we found the following items:

While testing charges to the University's credit card we noted:

- A number of restaurant charges totaling \$6,842 were identified as lunches or dinners of which only 1 receipt (\$995) was included in the payment package (such receipt includes charges for alcohol totaling \$139 and a 28% gratuity.) The payment documentation did not identify any specific business purpose for these expenditures. After bringing this matter to the University's attention, the University provided a spreadsheet identifying the purpose of each of the meal charges. The employee was not on travel status when the charges were incurred.
- Thirteen charges, totaling \$3,932, were for tickets to various theatrical events. Of these 13 charges, 8 of them had no receipts on the payment package except the credit card statement and none of them listed the business purpose or participants for these expenditures. After bringing this matter to the University's attention, the University provided a spreadsheet identifying the participants at each event.
- Eighteen charges, totaling \$2,027, were for miscellaneous gifts and fees. Of these items, 14
  of them had no receipts attached to the payment packages except the credit card statement.
- At least 36 expenditures, totaling \$19,241, were identified as being supplies or equipment.
  However, only 2 receipts were included with the payment packages. Three of five equipment
  items examined were not assigned a property tag from the University or included in the
  property control records.

While testing travel expenditures (credit card charges and travel vouchers), we noted:

- Direct bill payments were not detailed on the employee's travel vouchers. Many items were
  directly charged to the University (airfare, hotel, meals, etc.) and were not detailed out on the
  travel vouchers.
- At least 18 charges on the University credit card were for hotels, airlines, or other travel accommodations (while on travel status). These charges, however, did not have any receipts or invoices attached to the payment package. All of these payments were made from the credit card statement invoice only, without supporting receipts.
- A total of 31 charges did not include any information as to the purpose/itinerary of the trip.
   After bringing this matter to the University's attention, the University provided some of the meeting purposes and itineraries.
- For 10 charges, the rates for hotels were in excess of the amounts allowed by the Illinois Higher Education Travel Control Board (Board). These charges were on the University credit card bills and there was no documentation identifying whether the hotels were for conference

#### **CURRENT FINDINGS - STATE**

#### 06-5 FINDING: EMPLOYEE TRAVEL AND USE OF UNIVERSITY CREDIT CARD (continued)

hotels and if these accommodations were the least expensive room available. In some instances, there were no receipts to determine if the rates charged were allowable.

- Actual meal costs were being charged to the University instead of a per diem allowance as allowed by the Board. In many instances, there was not enough information to determine if the actual meal costs were greater than the per diem which would have been allowed to be claimed.
- There were instances where unallowable expenditures were being charged to the University.
   These items include movies, gifts, alcohol, and supplemental charges related to a seminar aboard a cruise. The total amount of these items could not be readily determined.
- In three instances, a total of \$477 was charged to the University for a no-show hotel fee. In two instances, a total of \$50 was charged to the University for an early departure fee.
- Some payments pertained to 2 leadership seminars conducted aboard cruises, where the University was charged for the most expensive room offered. Also, included in these payments was a two night pre-cruise hotel/reception at a cost of \$299 per person. Total charges were \$7,654. This condition involves a different party than the situation described in Finding Number 8 related to a leadership seminar on a Caribbean cruise.
- In four instances, the charges pertained to extended stays in hotels with no documentation as
  to the reason and instances where an automobile was rented for an extended period with no
  documentation available.
- In one instance, the airfare was upgraded to first class at an additional total cost of \$1,500 for two individuals. The reason for the upgrade was not documented on a travel voucher.
- In one instance, the hotel charge on the credit card of \$2,871 was not reconciled with the hotel invoice of \$2,746. There were also 3 instances where the traveler did not attend a scheduled conference and the credits to the University credit card were not reconciled to ensure that the University received all of its appropriate charge reversals.

Department of Central Management Services Property Control Rules (44 III Admin. Code 5010) requires all changes in equipment records be made within 30 days. It also requires each piece to be marked with a unique six-digit identification number. The State Property Control Act (30 ILCS 605/4) requires responsible officers at each State agency to be accountable for the supervision, control, and inventory of all property under their jurisdiction to ensure the proper accounting and safeguarding of State assets.

The State Records Act (5 ILCS 160/3) states that records of the obligation, receipt and use of public funds are public records and shall be kept at the official place of business of State and shall be available for public inspection. Prudent business practices require supporting documentation for all expenditures be retained.

The Higher Education Travel Control Board rules (80 IL Admin. Code section 2900.40) states that the per diem allowance is allowed when the travel period is overnight or exceeds 18 hours. The per diem allowance is the maximum allowance and is given in lieu of "living expenses incurred". It also states that if an employee attends a conference and obtains overnight accommodations where the conference is being held, the employee may be reimbursed for room cost in an amount greater that the maximum room allowance if the room rate is the lowest available at that hotel. It must be asserted in writing on the travel voucher that the employee stayed at the hotel where the conference was being held or conference officials arranged for accommodations. It also states that alcoholic

#### **CURRENT FINDINGS - STATE**

#### 06-5 FINDING: EMPLOYEE TRAVEL AND USE OF UNIVERSITY CREDIT CARD (continued)

beverages and entertainment are not reimbursable expenses. Section 2900.30 states that all travel shall be by the most economical transportation available, considering travel time, cost, and work requirements. It further states that travel on airplanes shall ordinarily be coach class. First class accommodations on commercial air carriers shall be explained on the travel voucher. Section 2900.60 states that direct bill charges and the purpose of the travel shall be itemized on the employees' travel vouchers. Further, Illinois Travel Regulation Council travel rules (80 IL Admin. Code section 3000.600(b)) state that late checkout and room guarantee charges are not reimbursable expenses. The employee's contract does not provide for meals when not on travel status. Good business practices require that invoices only be paid once an actual receipt is received and not paid off of the credit card statement.

University officials stated they believe the conditions cited result from a combination of timing, availability and urgent circumstances that were identified when the expenditures were incurred. The exceptions were also partly due to significant staff turnover in accounts payable and purchasing in fiscal year 2005 and new employees' attempts to sumount the usual learning curve.

University officials also stated the extended services were justified considering the flight schedules, penalties/change fees on the flights and flight/vehicle availability. Some vehicle rentals charge lower fees for week rental than a per day rental. As for the upgrades, these upgrades were necessary due to the length/nature of the trip and because the travelers needed to meet to finalize preparations for the trip/mission.

Failure to comply with the Acts is a violation of State statutes. Failure to adhere to the regulations may cause the University to incur duplicate expenses, unallowable expenditures, or result in payments in excess of the maximum allowed. (Finding Code No. 06-5)

#### RECOMMENDATION

We recommend that the University implement procedures to ensure compliance with the Acts and require all employees to adhere to regulations established by the Higher Education Travel Control Board and Travel Regulation Council.

#### **UNIVERSITY RESPONSE**

We agree with the recommendation. The University will schedule staff training on voucher processing to ensure that University procedures and applicable State regulations are complied with. The University will implement procedures in voucher processing to document exceptions and track their subsequent disposition.

#### **CURRENT FINDINGS - STATE**

#### 06-6 FINDING: INTERNAL CONTROLS OVER CONTRACTS

Chicago State University's (University's) internal controls over contracts need to be improved.

We made inquiries to University officials as to whether there were any contracts greater than \$3 million, and we were informed that the University had no contracts greater than \$3 million. During our testing of expenditures and voucher processing, we had previously noted that there was a payment made by the University to the Chicago State University Foundation (Foundation) for \$3.5 million. On October 16, 2006, we asked the University to provide us with a copy of the contract related to the \$3.5 million payment, and one was produced on October 23, 2006.

The contract that was provided to us was between the University and the Foundation. The University received a \$3.5 million grant from the Illinois Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity for the program entitled Chicagoland Regional College Program. The grant period covered July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2006. In previous years, the Foundation was the grantee.

In reviewing the contract and related documents, we noted that it had been executed after payment was made. The agreement was on an internal form that was dated after the time of the payment and therefore was not in existence at the time of payment. Payment of \$3,500,000 was made on February 1, 2006.

Good business practices require that contracts be reduced to writing and executed by the responsible agency officials prior to the commencement of services. Furthermore, providing the auditors with evidential matter that was not actually in existence could lead the auditors to the conclusion that the agency is operating in an improper control environment.

University officials stated that the employee who provided the auditors with the contract executed after the commencement date did not see it as a serious problem due to the contract being between the University and the Foundation and not with an outside vendor. University officials also stated that there was a presumption that the agreement was made at the time of the service and that it was covered by the master contract between the University and the Foundation.

The failure to reduce contracts to writing prior to the commencement of services could lead to potential legal issues. Providing inaccurate and improper evidential matter to the external auditors leads to an increase in the level of auditor scrutiny, beyond that found in a proper control environment. (Finding Code No. 06-6)

#### RECOMMENDATION

We recommend that the University execute all contracts prior to the commencement of services.

#### **UNIVERSITY RESPONSE**

We agree with the recommendation. This was an unusual and isolated situation. The appropriate administrative actions were taken regarding this situation.

#### **CURRENT FINDINGS - STATE**

### 06-7 <u>FINDING:</u> TIME SHEETS NOT MAINTAINED IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE STATE OFFICIALS AND EMPLOYEE ETHICS ACT

Chicago State University (University) has not maintained time sheets for all its employees in compliance with the State Officials and Employees Ethics Act (Act).

Of the 30 employees' time sheets that were examined for testing compliance with the Act, 21 (13 faculty and 8 non-faculty) did not submit time sheets documenting the time spent each day on official State business to the nearest quarter hour (2 non-faculty timesheets were later submitted to the auditors weeks after the request).

The Act requires the University to adopt personnel policies consistent with the Act. The Act (5 ILCS 430/5-5(c)) states, "the policies shall require State employees to periodically submit time sheets documenting the time spent each day on official State business to the nearest quarter hour."

University officials stated that all State Universities have mandated faculty to report time consistently. The President's council and the individual Universities will continue to discuss and explore faculty time reporting.

By not requiring appropriate time sheets from all its employees, the University is not in compliance with the Act. (Finding Code Nos. 06-7, 05-6)

#### RECOMMENDATION

We recommend that the University require all employees to maintain time sheets in compliance with the Act.

#### **UNIVERSITY RESPONSE**

We agree with the recommendation. The University will explore the ways to adhere to the Ethics Act. The University is considering implementing an automated time-keeping system, and believes that the implementation of this system will help the University to comply with the Ethics Act.

#### **CURRENT FINDINGS - STATE**

#### 06-8 FINDING: NONCOMPLIANCE WITH TRAVEL REGULATIONS

Chicago State University's (University) employees submitted travel reimbursement requests for travel claims that were not in accordance with the Illinois Travel Regulation Council's travel rules or University policy and procedures.

Our examination of 26 travel reirribursements/invoices revealed several issues. We noted the following:

- One reimbursement request (\$13,751) for travel outside the 48 contiguous states was not timely approved by the University President.
- One invoice (\$1,503) included collision damage waiver fees (\$44) charged for a car rental, even though the Illinois Travel Regulation Council's rules state that these are not reimbursable expenses.
- Two invoices/reimbursement requests included lodging rates in excess of those allowed by the Travel Control Board. The Travel Control Board allows \$110 per night for all cities outside of Illinois. The University allowed charges of \$205 (the hotel has indicated that \$175 was traditional room rate for this conference and \$205 was the premium room rate) and \$149 per night. The University could not provide any evidence of these being conference hotels and the least costly rooms available. Neither of these exceptions were presented to the Travel Control Board for approval of the exception.
- One invoice/reimbursement request included lodging rates in excess of those allowed for conference hotels. The Travel Control Board allows for the conference room rate to be at the lowest available at that hotel. The University allowed charges of \$229 when the lowest room rate available was \$209.
- Two invoices/reimbursement requests included payments for extended stays beyond the
  dates of conferences. There was no documentation on the requests indicating the reason
  additional time was spent at the hotel. The payments for these extended periods were \$214
  and \$170.
- Three invoice/reimbursement requests included payments for flight or room accommodation upgrades. There was no indication on the original documents of the necessity for the upgrades. The amounts of these upgrades could not be readily determinable.
- Three individuals used a privately owned vehicle for University travel. The University did not have any documentation or a certification that these people were properly licensed.
- One expenditure was for a 9 day Leadership Seminar in conjunction with a 9 day cruise of the Caribbean. We noted that this expenditure included room accommodations at the most expensive rate available (\$2,999) instead of the least expensive accommodations offered (\$1,499). The University did not provide any evidence of the extra cost being reimbursed by the employee. No travel voucher was submitted by the employee for this trip. This condition involves a different party than the situation described in Finding Number 5.

The University policy for travel reimbursements states that all travel must be approved in advance on a Travel Authorization Form. Requests for travel outside the 48 states of the continental United States must be presented 30 days prior to the beginning of the trip and must be approved by the President.

The Illinois Travel Regulation Council's travel rules (80 IL Admin Code Section 3000.300) states that collision damage waiver insurance and personal accident insurance are not reimbursable costs and that employees using privately owned vehicles are required to file a statement that they are duly licensed. The Higher Education Travel Control Board rules (80 IL Admin Code Sections 2900.40)

#### **CURRENT FINDINGS - STATE**

#### 06-8 FINDING: NONCOMPLIANCE WITH TRAVEL REGULATIONS (continued)

states if an employee attends a conference and obtains overnight accommodations where the conference is being held, the employee may be reimbursed for room cost in an amount greater that the maximum room allowance if the room rate is the lowest available at that hotel. It must be asserted in writing on the travel voucher that the employee stayed at the hotel where the conference was being held or conference officials arranged for accommodations.

University officials stated that the conditions were the result of a combination of timing, availability and urgent circumstances that were identified when the reimbursement or direct payment voucher was turned in, after the Travel Control Board reports were processed. The other exceptions were also partly due to significant staff turnover in accounts payable and purchasing in fiscal year 2005 and new employees' attempts to surmount the usual learning curve. As for the extended stay, the fiscal officer who approved the stay indicated that the stay was necessary considering the flight schedules and the penalties/change fees on the flights justify the costs of the extra day. As for the upgrades, these upgrades were necessary due to the length of the flight and because the travelers needed to meet to finalize preparations for the trip/mission.

Failure to properly adhere to the travel regulations may result in the University making an inappropriate payment of State funds. (Finding Code Nos. 06-8, 05-8)

#### RECOMMENDATION

We recommend the University improve its procedures that ensure travel expenditures are proper and comply with the established regulations and policies.

#### **UNIVERSITY RESPONSE**

We agree with the recommendation. The University will schedule staff training on voucher processing to ensure that University's procedures and applicable State regulations are complied with. The University will develop procedures to ensure compliance with travel guidelines and exceptions properly explained and submitted to the Travel Control Board, where necessary. The University will implement procedures in voucher processing to document exceptions and track their subsequent disposition.

#### **CURRENT FINDINGS - STATE**

#### 06-9 FINDING: INADEQUATE CONTROLS OVER PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT RECORDS

Chicago State University (University) had inadequate controls over its property and equipment and related records.

In performing our tests of University equipment, we found exceptions with 40 of the 100 (40%) items that were sampled. The following exceptions were noted:

- Twelve items (primarily computer equipment and library collections), with a cost of \$74,980, could not be located by University personnel.
- Three items, with an approximate cost of \$28,050, were found at a location other than the location listed in the property control records. One item (filing cabinet) was listed in the property control system as being scrapped but was actually found on the University campus.
- Two items (mini dock station and computer), with a cost of \$1,836, were physically inspected and appeared to be obsolete.
- Six items (ramen spectrometer, art, rug, computer equipment, and a sculpture) were
  assigned tag numbers, but they had no tags affixed. We also noted one expenditure
  (miscellaneous used equipment) where the University could not provide us with tag numbers
  and three expenditures where the University did provide us with tag numbers, but we were
  not able to trace the numbers provided to the University's property control records.

We also noted that the University submitted a property listing (listing) to the Department of Central Management Services that was inaccurate. The following errors were noted on the listing:

- One item (printer) was listed twice on the listing.
- Five items (segways, car, mixer, and a body mass analyzer), with a total cost of \$74,789, were
  not included on the listing.

We also noted six items which were incorrectly reported on the University deletion reports.

In addition, the University reported the current quarter Capital Development Board transfers on its C-15 reports as additions to the current quarter instead of transfers in from CDB.

Department of Central Management Services Property Control Rules (44 III. Admin Code 5010) requires all changes in equipment records be made within 30 days. It also requires each piece to be marked with a unique six digit identification number. The State Property Control Act (30 ILCS 605/4) requires responsible officers at each State agency to be accountable for the supervision, control, and inventory of all property under their jurisdiction to ensure the proper accounting and safeguarding of State assets. Good business practice requires that equipment be properly safeguarded against theft and misuse. Additionally, Statewide Accounting Management System Procedure (29.20.10) states that current quarter transfers from CDB are to be reported in the column identified as such.

University officials stated these exceptions occurred due to lack of staff and staff turnover. In addition, during the fiscal year and during the audit, there were campus-wide upgrades from one vendor's computer equipment to another's, room assignment changes, and library moves that property control staff were heavily involved in. Consequently, there has been a backlog in many functions, including

#### **CURRENT FINDINGS - STATE**

#### 06-9 FINDING: INADEQUATE CONTROLS OVER PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT RECORDS (continued)

the updating of the property records, reconciliation and investigation of physical count results, and reconciliation of expenditure records and property additions. In addition, the tags may have fallen-off certain items. The University officials maintain that the reporting of current quarter transfers from Capital Development Board in the quarter it belongs to, yields more timely and accurate presentation of such transfers and avoids the duplication of time and effort.

Inaccurate property control records results in incorrect accounting information and could cause unnecessary equipment expenditure and inaccurate financial reporting. Equipment not properly tagged could lead to improper use of University assets. (Finding Code Nos. 06-9, 05-4, 04-04, 03-03, 02-07, 01-07, 00-05, 99-09, 98-04, 97-09)

#### RECOMMENDATION

We recommend the University adhere to its procedures to ensure that the property and equipment records are properly maintained. Further, the University should adhere to the requirements of SAMS and submit their C-15 reports to the Office of the Comptroller accurately.

#### **UNIVERSITY RESPONSE**

We agree with the recommendation. The University continues its efforts to improve controls and procedures over equipment. The item (a metal filing cabinet) was reported by the Fiscal Officer to Property Control as scrap and appropriately removed from the Property Module. However, the item was retained by another department for storage until they purchased a replacement. The University plans to appeal to the Illinois Comptroller' Office to change its procedures pertaining to reporting of CDB transfers. The current accounting systems utilized by the state agencies and universities allow recording such transfers in the quarter they belong.

#### **CURRENT FINDINGS - STATE**

#### 06-10 FINDING: FAILURE TO INCLUDE TAXABLE FRINGE BENEFITS IN EMPLOYEE'S REPORTED INCOME

Chicago State University (University) calculated the amount of working condition fringe benefits from the personal use of a State vehicle but failed to add the fringe benefit to the employee's federal and state taxable income reported on Form W-2. In addition, the University did not calculate and report the taxable fringe benefit of family members traveling with the employee.

Our testing of taxable working condition fringe benefits reporting revealed that the University had calculated the amount of fringe benefits for the personal use of a State vehicle totaling \$11,949. The University, however, failed to add the fringe benefit amount to the employee's federal and state taxable income on Form W-2. The amount was correctly added to the Medicare wage, but was not reported as taxable wages on the employee's W-2. In addition, the employee's employment contract provided for the University to pay up to \$10,000 of travel expenses of the employee's spouse, dependents, or other individuals. Although provided for in the employment contract, this fringe benefit is still considered compensation by the Internal Revenue Service. The taxable fringe benefit for the employee's family members traveling with the employee (at the expense of the University) was not readily determinable for calendar year 2005, and none was reported.

The Internal Revenue Code Publication 535 requires the fair value of "personal use" of an employer provided vehicle to be included as a taxable fringe benefit, and to include such amounts in the employee's income as compensation. In addition, the University is required to withhold additional income taxes, social security, and Medicare taxes and State retirement contributions from the employee and pay any employer's share of tax related to the value of fringe benefits.

The Internal Revenue Code Title 26, Chapter 1, section 274 (m)(3) does not provide for travel expenses paid or incurred with respect to a spouse, dependent, or another individual accompanying an employee on business travel unless such expenses would otherwise be deductible (a bona-fide business purpose) by the spouse, dependent, or other individual under Code section 162(a). Internal Revenue Service Regulation 1.132-5(t)(1) states that in order to be excludable from an employee's compensation as a working condition fringe benefit, the amount must otherwise qualify as a deduction under Code section 162(a).

University officials stated that the working condition fringe benefits were not reported on the correct line of the employee's W-2 for Federal and State income tax due to an oversight.

The University's failure to include taxable fringe benefits in an employee's income is a violation of Federal and State income tax laws and may result in penalties and interest being assessed to the University. (Finding Code No. 06-10)

#### RECOMMENDATION

We recommend the University improve procedures for calculating and reporting employee fringe benefits.

#### **UNIVERSITY RESPONSE**

We agree with the recommendation. The University will obtain an IRS ruling on certain items whether they are taxable fringe benefits or not. The University will comply with the IRS determination as applicable.

#### **CURRENT FINDINGS - STATE**

#### 06-11 FINDING: FAILURE TO FILE CONTRACTS WITH THE STATE COMPTROLLER

Chicago State University (University) contracts were not on file with the Illinois Office of the Comptroller (IOC).

Our testing of 25 contractual service expenditures included 6 contracts which were not on file with the State Comptroller's Office and 2 that were not filed within 15 days of execution.

The Illinois Procurement Code (30 ILCS 500/20-80(b)) and SAMS procedure 15.10.40 require State agencies to file contracts exceeding \$10,000, \$5,000 for professional and artistic contracts, with the State Comptroller within 15 days of execution. In addition, SAMS procedure 15.20.10 states, "File Only contracts, including contracts paid entirely from locally held funds, do not require obligation and are not entered into the SAMS system. They must, however, be filed with the Illinois Office of the Comptroller and must meet all IOC documentation and certification requirements."

University officials stated that these were isolated incidents.

Failure to file contracts with the Illinois Office of the Comptroller is a violation of State statutes and regulations. (Finding Code No. 06-11, 05-5)

#### RECOMMENDATION

We recommend the University implement procedures to ensure all contracts over \$10,000, \$5,000 for professional and artistic contracts, are filed with the Illinois Office of the Comptroller in accordance with State statutes and guidelines.

#### **UNIVERSITY RESPONSE**

We agree with the recommendation. The University will coordinate with the Illinois Office of the Comptroller to ensure that contracts submitted by the University are received and acknowledged.

#### **CURRENT FINDINGS - STATE**

#### 06-12 FINDING: NONCOMPLIANCE WITH CONTRACTUAL SERVICE REGULATIONS

Chicago State University's (University) contracting procedures need to be improved.

During our examination of 22 contracts, we noted the following:

- Two of 22 (9%) contracts were dated by a University official who signed them, but the date of signature was after the services commenced. The total amount of services provided prior to the approval date was not determinable. One contract was for \$70 per hour not to exceed \$10,000. The second contract was for \$14,734.
- We also noted another situation where a contract should have been prepared and executed, however one was not. This involved the rental of an electrical generator. This generator was an emergency purchase; however, neither an emergency purchase affidavit nor a written contract were ever completed. The need to rent the generator ran much longer than expected and eventually surpassed the criteria threshold requiring bidding and a contract. The total amount expended was \$39,761.

The Statewide Accounting Management System (SAMS) procedure 15.10.40 states that contracts that will exceed \$10,000, \$5,000 for professional and artistic contracts, to any single vendor must be reduced to writing. Good business practices would require that contracts be fully executed prior to commencement.

University officials stated that these were isolated exceptions and were caused by unusual circumstances.

Failure to abide by appropriate contracting procedures may cause the University to make payments that are not authorized, and results in noncompliance with SAMS. (Finding Code No. 06-12)

#### **RECOMMENDATION**

We recommend that the University comply with the SAMS Manual and ensure that contracts are fully executed prior to commencement.

#### **UNIVERSITY RESPONSE**

We agree with the recommendation. The University will enhance its processing procedures to provide a review of contracts as they are encumbered in the system to ensure compliance with contractual service regulations.

# STATE OF ILLINOIS CHICAGO STATE UNIVERSITY FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS - PRIOR FINDINGS NOT REPEATED FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2006

#### PRIOR FINDINGS NOT REPEATED - FEDERAL COMPLIANCE

#### 06-13 Finding: Failure to Provide Matching Funds for Federal Programs

The University did not provide required matching funds for a Federal Award Program. (Finding Code No. 05-2)

Status - Not repeated

Our sample testing did not reveal evidence of failure to provide matching funds that were required for the Federal Programs that were tested as major programs.

#### 06-14 Finding: Inadequate Control Procedures to Notify Pass-Through Grantors of Audit Results

The University did not have adequate procedures to ensure compliance with Office of Management and Budget (OMB), Circular A-133's requirement to notify pass-through grantors of the results of the University's audit. (Finding Code No. 05-3)

Status - Not repeated

Our sample testing did not reveal any evidence of the University not notifying pass-through grantors of the results of the University's audit.

#### PRIOR FINDINGS NOT REPEATED - STATE

#### 06-15 Finding: Failure to Complete Ethics Training within Six Months of Employment

The University did not provide ethics training within six months of initial employment for certain individuals hired during fiscal year 2005 in accordance with the State Officials and Employees Ethics Act. (Finding Code No. 05-7)

Status - Not repeated

Our sample testing did not identify any significant failures to provide ethics training within six months of initial employment.

#### 06-16 Finding: Noncompliance with the University Facilities Revenue Bond Indenture

The University did not fully comply with the continuing disclosure requirements of the University Facilities Revenue Bond. (Finding Code No. 05-9)

Status - Not repeated

Our sample testing did not indicate that the University failed to comply with the continuing disclosure requirements of the University Facilities Revenue Bond.

### STATE OF ILLINOIS CHICAGO STATE UNIVERSITY SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION FOR STATE COMPLIANCE PURPOSES

#### SUMMARY

Supplementary Information for State Compliance Purposes presented in this section of the report include the following:

Fiscal Schedules and Analysis:

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

Schedule of Appropriations, Expenditures and Lapsed Balances

Comparative Schedule of Net Appropriations, Expenditures and Lapsed Balances

Comparative Schedule of Income Fund Revenues and Expenditures

Schedule of Changes in State Property

Analysis of Significant Variations in Revenues and Expenses

Comparative Schedule of Cash, Temporary Cash Investments, and Investments

- at Market Value

Analysis of Significant Variations in Asset and Liability Accounts

Analysis of Significant Lapse Period Expenditures

Analysis of Accounts Receivable

Schedule of Sources and Applications of Indirect Cost Recoveries

#### Analysis of Operations

Agency Functions and Planning Program

Average Number of Employees (Unaudited)

Comparative Enrollment Statistics (Unaudited)

Statistical Summary (Unaudited)

Comparative Schedule of Unrestricted Current Fund Expenditures per Full-time Equivalent Students as Reported to the Board of Higher Education (Unaudited)

**Emergency Purchases** 

Illinois First Program

Bookstore Information (Unaudited)

Schedule of Federal and Nonfederal Expenditures

Schedule of Degrees Conferred (Unaudited)

Schedule of Tuition and Fee Waivers (Unaudited)

#### Special Data Requirements for Audits of Universities

University Reporting in Accordance With University Guidelines

Schedule of Indirect Cost Funds to be Deposited into the University

Income Fund as Required by the University Guidelines (1997 Amended)

Schedule of Excess Funds Calculation by Entity as required by the

1982 University Guidelines (1997 Amended)

**Entities' Financial Statements** 

Balance Sheets

Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Transfers - Current Unrestricted Funds

Statement of Changes in Fund Balance - Current Unrestricted Funds

Statement of Changes in Fund Balance -- Plant Funds

Summary of Foundation Cash Support to the University

The auditors' report that covers the Supplementary Information for State Compliance Purposes presented in the Compliance Report Section states that it has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in the auditors' opinion, except for the portion marked "unaudited," on which they express no opinion, it is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

# STATE OF ILLINOIS CHICAGO STATE UNIVERSITY SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2006

<u>Source/Program</u>	Federal CFDA#	Pass-Through Entity <u>Identifying Number</u>	Federal <u>Expenditures</u>
STUDENT FINANCIAL AID CLUSTER			
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION:			
Direct Programs:			
Student Financial Aid Cluster:			
Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants	84.007		\$ 516,394 491,189
Federal Work-Study Program Federal Pell Grant Program	84.033 84.063		10,341,172
Federal Perkins Loan Program (Note 2)	84.038		10,041,172
Federal Family Education Loans (Note3)	84.032		-
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES			
Direct Program:			
Student Financial Aid Cluster.			
Nursing Student Loan Program (Note 2)	93.364		
Scholarships for Health Professions Students from Disadvantaged Backgrounds - SDS Nursing Program	93.925		89,815
Total Student Financial Aid Cluster			11,438,570
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION:			
TRIO Cluster:			
TRIO-Student Support Services	84.042		377,326
TRIO-Upward Bound	84.047 84.047		405,841 323,097
TRIO-Upward Bound TRIO-Educational Opportunity Centers	84.066		336,503
Total TRIO Cluster	04.000		1,442,767
Project Nueva Generacion	84.195E		68,582
Teachers and Personnel Grants	84.195A		107,614
Minority Science And Engineering Improvement Program	84.120		84,239
Fund for the Improvement of Postsecondary Education	84.116		236,513
Community Technology Centers Program	84.341		16,281
Trio-Dissemination Partnership Grants	84.344		223,823
Hurricane Education Recovery	84.938		97,304 2,277,123
Total Direct Programs			2,277,123
Passed Through Programs From:			
Illinois Board of Higher Education Improving Teacher Quality State Grants - Chicago Collaborative for High School Science	84.367	F983NCLB12	105,031
Illinois State Board of Education			
Vocational Education-Basic Grants to States - Illinois University Council for Career & Technical Education	84.048	0647200117-064545051	4,740
The Jane Addams Hull House			
Early Reading First/Hull House Project	84.359		52,185
Total Passed Through Programs			161,956
Total Department of Education			2,439,079
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER			
NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH			
Biomedical Research and Research Training - Minority Biomedical Research Support (MBRS)	93.859		2,204,834
Mental Health Research Grant(s)	93.242		223,360
Child Health and Human Development Extramural Research- EARDA	93.865		58,373

# STATE OF ILLINOIS CHICAGO STATE UNIVERSITY SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2006

Source/Program	Federal CFDA#	Pass-Through Entity Identifying Number	Federal Expenditures
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CLUSTER (continued)			
Passed Through Programs From:			
American Psychological Associaton			40.400
Biomedical Research and Research Training - Developng Minority Biomed	93.859	5T36GM00864006	10,492
Northwestern University			
Biomedical Research and Research Training - MS-Phd Bridge to the Future	93.859	1R25GM068929	164,806
University of Illinois			
Biomedical Research and Research Training - Training at the Masters Level	93.859	5R25GM07195902	126,206
NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION			
Education and Human Resources - AMP- Chicago Science, Engineering and Math Alliance	47.076		1,802,067
Education and Human Resources - Improving Student Understanding of Physics at an Inner-City University through the use of Concept and Activity-Based Tutorials	47.076		6,190
Education and Human Resources - Support, Mentoring, Accountability, Research and Training:	47.070		69,390
A Sustainable, Thriving Program in Mathematical Sciences Biological Sciences - Acquisition of a Florescence-Activated Cell Sorter to Support Research	47.076		69,390
And Training at CSU	47.074		337,684
Passed Through Program From:			
Illinois Institute of Technology	47.041	EEC-0080527	3,435
Engineering Grants - Virtual Experiments for Biomedical Engineering Education	47.041	220-000022	0,100
NATIONAL OCEANIC & ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION			
Passed Through Program From:			
Wilbur Wright College Educational Partnership Program - Environmental Entrepreneurship Program Dev't & Enchancement	11.481	NA16AE2932	12,878
ARMY RESEARCH OFFICE, ARMY MATERIAL COMMAND			
	H. 40 404		99.895
Basic Scientific Research - Microwave Laboratory Systems To Enhance Research Opportunities & Scientific E	at 12.431		
Total Research And Development Cluster			5,119,610
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES:			
Direct Programs:			
Nursing Workforce Diversity Grant	93.178		308,309
Nurse Education, Practice and Retention Grants - CSU's Access to Nursing Care Through Service Learning	93.359		180,282
Nurse Education, Practice and Retention Grants: Career Ladder	93.359		298,652
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Total Direct Programs	93.558		289,170 1,076,413
Passed Through Programs From:			
City of Chicago:	00.000	05 0000 52 2005 0440 0440 050105	1 222 500
Headstart/IPCFD	93.600	05-0890-52-2005-0140-0140-05CI6E	1,332,500
Headstart Program	93.600	005-0890-52-2005-0140-0140-05CI7A	112,449
Head Start Collaboration	93.600	005-0890-52-2005-0140-0140-05CI6A	292,732
Head Start Developing Communities Project	93.600	005-0890-52-2005-0140-0140-05Cl6A	286,457 2,024,138
Total Head Start	02 667	005-0890-52-2005-0140-0140-05CI6A	2,024,136 714,444
Social Services Block Grant - Developing Community Project/Child Care Services	93.667	003-0080-32-2003-0140-0140-03C16A	2.738.582
Total			2,100,002

# STATE OF ILLINOIS CHICAGO STATE UNIVERSITY SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2006

	Federal	Pass-Through Entity	Federal Expenditures
Source/Program  DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES (continued):	CFDA#	<u>Identifying Number</u>	Expenditures
The University of Illinois at Chicago:			
Health Careers Opportunity Program - Six Week Summer Health Careers Opportunity Program Health Careers Opportunity Program - UIC/SPH/CSU Health Careers Opportunities Program	93.822 93.822	5D18HP02992-05 9212-HCOP-HHS-HP0312701	48,988 78,920
Central State University:			
Family and Community Violence Prevention Program - Family Life Center	93.910	5MPCMP94001	255,099
National Youth Sports Program Fund:  Community Services Block Grant_Discretionary Awards - National Youth Sports Program	93.570	NYSPF051026	47,033
Total Passed Through Programs			3,168,622
Total Department of Health and Human Services			4,245,035
U.S. AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT			
Direct Program:			
Textbook & Learning Materials For South African Primary Schools	98.	RLA-A-00-05-00072-00	439,075
Total U.S. Agency for International Development			439,075
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE			
Direct Program:			
Scientific Cooperation and Research	10.961		6,461
Passed Through Programs From:			
Illinois State Board of Education			
Child & Adult Care Food Program	10.558	14016315P00	84,883
State Administration Expenses for Child Nutrition	10.560	14016315P00	1,426
Summer Food Service Program for Children	10.559	14016315P00	24,747 111,056
Total Passed Through Programs			111,056
Total Department of Agriculture			117,517
INSTITUTE OF MUSEUM & LIBRARY SERVICES			
Direct Program:			
Grants to States	45.310		433
Total Institute of Museum & Library Services			433
US DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT			
Passed Through Program From:			
Chicago Housing Authority	44.070	U 00DNINI000D0044	2 102
Resident Opportunity and Supportive Services - Home Ownership and Family	14.870	IL02RNN002P0014	3,183
Total US Department of Housing & Urban Development			3,183
SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION			
Direct Program:			
Small Business Development Center	59.037		14,235
Total Small Business Administration			14,235
TOTAL EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS			\$ 23,816,737

# STATE OF ILLINOIS CHICAGO STATE UNIVERSITY NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2006

## 1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards includes federal grants of the University and is presented on the accrual basis of accounting. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, "Audits of State, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations." Some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented, or used in the preparation of the basic financial statements.

## 2. LOANS OUTSTANDING

The University had the following loan balances outstanding at June 30, 2006. These loan balances are not included in the federal expenditures presented in the schedule.

## PERKINS LOAN FUND

The Perkins Loan receivable from program inception through the year ended June 30, 2006 totaled \$2,007,593. Loan advances for the year ended June 30, 2006 totaled \$233,722. Interest income on loans totaled \$50,605 and other income totaled \$17,706.

## NURSING STUDENT LOAN PROGRAM

The balance of loans receivable as of June 30, 2006 was \$43,689. There were collections of \$2,167 and \$782 in cancellations this fiscal year.

# 3. FEDERAL FAMILY EDUCATION LOAN PROGRAM (FFELP)

The University approved \$22,785,604 in FFELP Loans (Stafford Subsidized - \$13,274,848, Stafford Unsubsidized - \$9,201,154 and Parent Loans [Plus] - \$309,602).

# STATE OF ILLINOIS CHICAGO STATE UNIVERSITY SCHEDULE OF APPROPRIATIONS, EXPENDITURES AND LAPSED BALANCES APPROPRIATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2006

# **FOURTEEN MONTHS ENDED AUGUST 31, 2006**

Public Act No. 94-0015

GENERAL REVENUE FUND (001)	Appropriations (Net After Transfers)	Expenditures Through June 30, 2006	Lapse Period Expenditures (July 1-Aug 31)	Total Expenditures	Balances Lapsed
Personal services	\$ 34,628,900	\$ 34,628,840	\$ 60	\$ 34,628,900	\$ -
Social Security	376,800	376,800	-	376,800	-
Group Insurance	512,000	512,000	-	512,000	_
Contractual services	1,992,700	1,992,700	_	1,992,700	-
Travel	11,000	11,000	-	11,000	-
Commodities	11,000	11,000	-	11,000	-
Equipment and library books	168,100	168,100	-	168,100	-
Telecommunications	304,400	304,400	-	304,400	-
Operation of Automotive Equipment	1,000	1,000	-	1,000	-
Awards and grants	104,400	104,400	-	104,400	-
Doctor of Education in Ed. Leadership	150,000	150,000	-	150,000	-
HIV/AIDS Policy Research	400,000	400,000		400,000	
Total General Revenue Fund (001)	38,660,300	38,660,240	60	38,660,300	
TOTAL APPROPRIATIONS	\$ 38,660,300	\$ 38,660,240	\$ 60	\$ 38,660,300	\$

# STATE OF ILLINOIS CHICAGO STATE UNIVERSITY COMPARATIVE SCHEDULE OF NET APPROPRIATIONS, EXPENDITURES, AND LAPSED BALANCES

# FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2006 (WITH COMPARATIVE TOTALS FOR 2005)

	2006 PUBLIC ACT		Pl	2005 JBLIC ACT
		94-0015		93-0842
GENERAL REVENUE FUND (001)	•	00 000 000	•	. 30 045 305
APPROPRIATIONS	\$	38,660,300	\$	38,845,285
EXPENDITURES				
Personal services		34,628,900		34,861,700
Social Security		376,800		369,100
Group Insurance		512,000		-
Contractual services		1,992,700		2,026,200
Travel		11,000		16,000
Commodities		11,000		16,000
Equipment and library books		168,100		313,700
Telecommunications		304,400		304,400
Operations of Automobile		1,000		1,000
Awards and Grants		104,400		102,200
Title II, teacher quality enhancement		-		250,000
Doctor of Education in Ed. Leadership		150,000		84,985
Financial Assistance Outreach Center		-		250,000
HIV/AIDS Policy & Research		400,000		250,000
Total expenditures		38,660,300		38,845,285
Lapsed balances		<u> </u>		
GRAND TOTAL				
APPROPRIATIONS		38,660,300		38,845,285
EXPENDITURES		38,660,300		38,845,285
TOTAL LAPSED BALANCES	\$		\$	

# STATE OF ILLINOIS

# CHICAGO STATE UNIVERSITY

# COMPARATIVE SCHEDULE OF INCOME FUND REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2006

(With comparative totals for the year ended June 30, 2005)

		2006	2005
INCOME FUND REVENUES			_
Tuition revenue	\$	23,871,251	\$ 20,739,854
Laboratory fee		228,376	208,071
Late registration fee		67,902	41,988
NSF check fee		2,380	2,780
Deferred payment fee		67,529	66,859
Graduation fee-undergraduate		16,512	17,126
Graduation fee-graduate		8,460	9,290
Transcript fee		76,240	78,432
Application fee		116,717	118,609
Interest income		414,304	95,365
Miscellaneous other income		82,820	51,689
TOTAL INCOME FUND REVENUES	\$	24,952,491	\$ 21,430,063
INCOME FUND EXPENDITURES			
Personal services	\$	11,462,406	\$ 9,692,618
Social Security		213,574	189,169
CMS Group Insurance		512,000	1,024,000
Contractual services		6,172,648	5,092,496
Travel		241,169	144,556
Commodities		988,521	821,869
Equipment and library books		1,724,103	702,070
Telecommunications		122,409	144,497
Operation of automotive equipment		29,956	26,063
Permanent improvements		1,074,496	41,788
TOTAL INCOME FUND EXPENDITURES	\$_	22,541,282	\$ 17,879,126

# STATE OF ILLINOIS CHICAGO STATE UNIVERSITY SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN STATE PROPERTY FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2006

# (In thousands)

	Ва	eginning alance at e 30, 2005	Ac	dditions	Recia	ssifications_	Retir	ements	В	Ending alance at e 30, 2006
Land	\$	8,668	\$	600	\$	-	\$	-	\$	9,268
Buildings and building improvements		74,344		906		30,800		-		106,050
Site improvements		9,495		-				-		9,495
Equipment		29,447		2,516				(667)		31,296
Library books		9,113		332				-		9,445
Construction in-progress		48,686		32,566		(30,800)				50,452
TOTAL	\$	179,753	\$	36,920	\$		\$	(667)	\$	216,006

This Schedule has been reconciled to Quarterly Reports of State Property submitted to the State Comptroller.

# STATE OF ILLINOIS CHICAGO STATE UNIVERSITY ANALYSIS OF SIGNIFICANT VARIATIONS IN REVENUES AND EXPENSES FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30,2006

We obtained variance explanations for the following accounts, which had a 20% change and a dollar variance greater than \$100,000.

REV	FENUES  FEDERAL GRANTS AND CONTRACTS: The funding from the following grants increased significantly; Alliance for Minority Participation \$1,084,056, MBRS \$847,496, Head Start \$693,171, Pell Grant \$441,629, Toutheak and Learning Materials in Chang Africa, and Acquisition of a second start and Acquisition and Acquisiti	Ba	llance FY 06	<u>Ba</u>	lance FY 05	<u>\$ [</u>	<u> Difference</u>	<u>%</u>
	Textbook and Learning Materials in Ghana, Africa, and Acquisition of a Flourescence \$337,684	\$	24,538,317	\$	19,359,175	\$	5,179,142	26.8%
	<b>STATE AND LOCAL GRANTS AND CONTRACTS:</b> The increase mainly pertains to the Infrastructure for CSU's Convocation Center grant.	\$	6,168,150	\$	5,067,637	\$	1,100,513	21.7%
	NON-GOVERNMENTAL GRANTS AND CONTRACTS: Main contributor to this increase is in private grant for Northeastern Illinois Food Security which increased \$150 thousand.	\$	475,457	\$	141,795	\$	333,662	235.3%
	<b>CAPITAL GRANTS AND APPROPRIATIONS:</b> The increase is due to the addition of the newly constructed Library and the Convocation Center which is nearing completion as well.	\$	28,253,484	\$	14,593,710	\$1	3,659,774	93.6%
EXP	ENSES							
	<b>RESEARCH:</b> Equipment expenditures in new and continuing Federal-HHS grant programs contributed to this increase, in the area of Research in Chemistry & Physics, \$616 thousand. Personal Services also increased in Research in both Faculty and Extra help line items, \$250						4 500 540	05.40/
	thousand.	\$	3,863,448	\$	2,340,702	\$	1,522,746	65.1%
	<b>STUDENT SERVICES:</b> Student Financial Assistance expenses increased \$3.5 million related to the Chicagoland Regional College Grant program, offset by decreases mainly in Personal Services.	\$	11,056,396	\$	8,781,577	\$	2,274,819	25.9%
	<b>OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF PLANT:</b> There was decreased spending for Operation and Maintenance of Plant, with reductions throughout, particularly in Residence Remodeling, Utilities Maintenance,		0.400.00=		0.004.005	•	(4.000.205)	22.004
	and Other O&M Activities.	\$	6,402,239	\$	8,204,625	Ф	(1,802,386)	-22.0%

# STATE OF ILLINOIS

# CHICAGO STATE UNIVERSITY COMPARATIVE SCHEDULE OF CASH, TEMPORARY CASH INVESTMENTS,

# AND INVESTMENTS - AT MARKET VALUE AS OF JUNE 30, 2006

(With Comparative Totals as of June 30, 2005)

		2006		2005
By Depository				
Cash and temporary cash investments:				
Citibank , Chicago, Illinois, Money Market Account	\$	241	\$	239
Checking accounts:				
Shore Bank, Chicago, Illinois, Depository Account		944,771		548,553
Shore Bank, Chicago, Illinois, ECA Account		1,306		650
Shore Bank, Chicago, Illinois, Student Loan Account		147,145		863,174
Shore Bank, Chicago, Illinois, HHS Account		705		394
Citibank, Chicago, Illinois, Vendor Disbursement Account		(241,433)		(942,042)
Citibank, Chicago, Illinois, Student Disbursement Account		(18,418)		1,328
Citibank, Chicago, Illinois, Electronic Depository Account - Local		18,525		56,965
Citibank, Chicago, Illinois, Electronic Depository Account - International		1,411		61,216
Citibank, Chicago, Illinois, TMS Account		3,232		11,493
Bank One, Chicago, Illinois, Payroll Account		153,299		98,957
Harris Bank, Chicago, Illinois, HUD Account		87,906		95,000
The Illinois Funds, Springfield, Illinois, Clearing Fund		7,973,634		848,684
The Illinois Funds, Springfield, Illinois, Payroll Fund		3,174		3,049
Temporary cash investments -				
Seaway Bank, Chicago Illinois, Bond Revenue Proceeds		1,230,348		1,709,298
Total Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$	10,305,846	\$	3,356,958
By Fund				
Unrestricted current funds	\$	6,174,656	\$	1,937,298
Restricted current funds	*	(1,470,642)	*	(1,923,578)
Loan funds		(817)		(3,715)
Plant Funds		5,336,029		3,188,109
Agency Funds		266,620		158,844
Total Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$	10,305,846	\$	3,356,958

# STATE OF ILLINOIS CHICAGO STATE UNIVERSITY ANALYSIS OF SIGNIFICANT VARIATIONS IN ASSET AND LIABILITY ACCOUNTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30,2006

We obtained variance explanations for the following accounts, which had a 20% change and a dollar variance greater than \$100,000.

ACCE	re	Ba	alance FY06	<u>B</u>	alance FY05	<u>\$</u>	Difference	% Difference
<u>ASSET</u>	Cash and cash equivalents: Cash and cash equivalents increased by more than twofold as compared to prior year due to improved State collections and the Seimen's reserves.	\$	10,305,846	\$	3,356,958	\$	6,948,888	207%
	Balance in State Appropriation: The change in the State Appropriation wase due to the University spending State funds first, then local funds.	\$	294,601	\$	3,600,231	\$	(3,305,630)	-92%
	Prepaid Expenses and Other Assets: Reflects expensing of CDB construction deposit for construction of the Convocation Center at the University.	\$	223,026	\$	4,428,869	\$	(4,205,843)	-95%
	Capital Assets: Increase in net investment in plant funds at the University due to the acquisition and construction of structures taking place at the University. Projects include new Library, Convocation Center and real estate acquisition.		136,396,279	\$	103,457,913	\$	32,938,366	32%
LIABIL	Deferred revenue: Deferred revenues increased in the categories of Tuition and Fee at \$284,000 and Grants and Contracts at \$66,000, due mainly to increase tuition revenues and new or increased Grants.	\$	1,617,160	\$	1,296,982	\$	320,178	25%
	Performance contract notes payable: The decrease in the performance contract is due another year of payments being currently due on the performance contract which is in litigation.	\$	2,216,677	\$	2,884,117	\$	(667,440)	-23%

# **ANALYSIS OF SIGNIFICANT LAPSE PERIOD EXPENDITURES**

The University did not have any significant lapse period expenditures.

# **STATE OF ILLINOIS CHICAGO STATE UNIVERSITY** ANALYSIS OF ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

# **AS OF JUNE 30, 2006**

(With Comparative Totals as of June 30, 2005)

Balance Sheet at June 30 of	2006	2005	Change		
Accounts Receivable (Net)					
Current Unrestricted Fund	\$ 3,892,689	\$ 3,312,279	\$ 580,410		
Current Restricted Fund	4,811,766	4,061,227	750,539		
Loan Fund	(45,655)	(21,084)	(24,571)		
Total Accounts Receivable (Net)	\$ 8,658,800	\$ 7,352,422	\$ 1,306,378		
Accounts Receivable - All Funds at Gross	\$ 10,432,472	\$ 9,106,173	\$ 1,326,299		
Less: Allowance for Uncollectible Accounts	(1,773,672)	(1,753,751)	(19,921)		
Total Accounts Receivable (Net)	\$ 8,658,800	\$ 7,352,422	\$ 1,306,378		
Aging of Current Unrestricted Fund  Current (less than one year) One year past due Two years past due Three years past due Older than three years past due Total Current Unrestricted Fund-Gross	\$ 2,464,387 1,211,866 864,755 501,821 591,601 \$ 5,634,430	\$ 2,557,165 1,116,271 602,260 509,621 248,782 \$ 5,034,099	\$ (92,778) 95,595 262,495 (7,800) 342,819 \$ 600,331		
Balance Sheet - Current Unrestricted Fund (Net)	\$ 3,892,689	\$ 3,312,279	\$ 580,410		
Allowance for Uncollectible Accounts	(1,741,741)	(1,721,820)	(19,921)		
TOTAL CURRENT UNRESTRICTED FUND-GROSS	\$ 5,634,430	\$ 5,034,099	\$ 600,331		

# STATE OF ILLINOIS CHICAGO STATE UNIVERSITY SCHEDULE OF SOURCES AND APPLICATIONS OF INDIRECT COST RECOVERIES FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2006

SOURCES:	
Federal funds	\$ 1,079,917
State funds	79,405
Local and Private funds	24,215
Other sources	38,576
Total Sources	1,222,113
APPLICATIONS:	
Research	639,943
Institutional support	101,232
Scholarships and fellowships	455,370
Public Services	41,288
Student Services	150,000
Total Applications	1,387,833
Excess of Sources Over Applications	(165,720)
FUND BALANCE, BEGINNING OF YEAR	294,585
FUND BALANCE, END OF YEAR	\$ 128,865

# AGENCY FUNCTIONS AND PLANNING

Chicago State University (University) is governed by the Chicago State University Board of Trustees which is comprised of seven members appointed by the Governor with the advice and consent of the State Senate. There is also one voting student member elected by the student body. Three board members have five-year appointments and the other four have a three-year term. The student is elected for a one year term.

During the Fiscal Year 2000 the University initiated and completed a Strategic Planning process on campus with the establishment of the New Millennium Steering Committee. A new mission statement and University vision are incorporated into the document, and a process for improving assessment and planning was started. Although this document is expected to be a University guide to strategic planning for the future, the University anticipates that many factors may require it to be updated annually.

## **Chicago State University - Mission**

Chicago State University, a public, comprehensive, urban institution of higher learning located on the south side of Chicago, strives for excellence in teaching, research, creative expression and community service. The mission of the University is to: 1) provide access to higher education for residents of the region, the state and beyond, with an emphasis on meeting the educational needs, undergraduate through doctoral levels, of promising graduates from outstanding secondary schools as well as educating students where academic and personal growth may have been inhibited by lack of economic, social, or educational opportunity; and 2) produce graduates who are responsible, discerning, and informed global citizens with a commitment to lifelong-learning and service.

To accomplish its mission, the University is committed to:

- recruiting, retaining and graduating a culturally and economically diverse student body including undergraduate, master's and doctoral-level students;
- employing a dedicated, caring and culturally diverse faculty whose teaching is informed by research and embodies engaging learning experiences that enable students to flourish academically and personally;
- offering curricula that address major dimensions of the arts, humanities, and encourage the development of communication skills and critical thinking as well as cultural and social awareness;
- providing students in liberal arts and professional programs with broad knowledge, university-level competencies and specialized courses that are intellectually challenging and academically rigorous;
- fostering a collaborative and intellectually stimulating community that promotes academic freedom, mutual respect and integrity for its doctoral, graduate and undergraduate students, faculty and staff; and
- working in partnership with local organizations and agencies active in the region and assisting in the development of socially economically viable and sustainable communities.

# AGENCY FUNCTIONS AND PLANNING (continued)

# **Chicago State University Vision Statement**

Chicago State University is a comprehensive, metropolitan, communiversity committed to its evolution as a center of academic excellence and aspires to be a doctoral granting institution of higher learning. The University is dedicated to maintaining a culturally diverse community of scholars engaged in the collaborative creation and dissernination of knowledge. Placing its students first, CSU prepares its graduates to meet the challenges of the 21st century.

# By the year 2010:

- All faculty and students will be actively engaged in research, scholarship and creative expression.
- The University community will measure its success by what its students learn or the educational value it adds to the lives of its students.
- The University will be fiscally credible, responsible in all its operations, expand its revenue sources and enhance its endowment.
- The University will maintain and expand its physical facilities and infrastructure as well as technologies to support its teaching, learning and research goals.
- The University will actively engage its internal community and external constituencies in its economic development interests and community engagement projects.
- The University will use its athletic program as a means of achieving greater visibility and a positive image as well as enhancing recruitment and fund-raising initiatives.
- The University's academic programs will emphasize majors in business, healthcare, education, scientific and technological areas while continuing to provide strong and rigorous programs in the liberal arts, humanities and the social sciences.
- All members of the University community will adhere to the CSU community Code of Excellence.
- The University will provide a strong cultural and intellectual climate and will enhance the quality of student life through an array of activities and services.

## AGENCY FUNCTIONS AND PLANNING (continued)

 The University will expand strategies for recruiting, retaining and advancing highly qualified faculty, students, staff, administrators and alumni in order to achieve its mission.

The University is also committed to meeting the needs of the State and its citizens in the next decade by participating in the "citizens agenda" adopted by the Illinois Board of Higher Education that is termed "The Illinois Commitment." Many agenda items fit within the stated mission, and have been incorporated into the CSU budget and planning process. These goals include:

- 1. Higher education will help Illinois business and industry sustain strong economic growth.
- 2. Higher education will join elementary and secondary education to improve teaching and learning at all levels.
- 3. No Illinois citizen will be denied an opportunity for a college education because of financial need.
- 4. Illinois will increase the number and diversity of citizens completing training and education programs.
- Illinois colleges and universities will hold students to even higher expectations for learning and will be accountable for the quality of academic programs and the assessment of learning.
- 6. Illinois colleges and universities will continually improve productivity, costeffectiveness, and accountability.

CSU intends to contribute significantly to the attainment of each of these goals. The University is required to submit an annual Resource and Management Plan to the Illinois Board of Higher Education, which details the current year and a five-year projection. The University intends that future budget requests will contribute to the University Mission, Vision Statement and the Illinois Commitment.

## Auditor's Assessment of Planning Program

In the University's Mission and Vision Statement, the statements are outlined to allow the University to achieve their goals. These objectives are evaluated annually and reviewed by the Illinois State Board of Higher Education in order to enhance the progress of the University. We conclude that the University has a well-developed budget and planning program.

# **University Head and Location**

The current President of the University is Dr. Elnora Daniel whose office is located at:

Chicago State University
Cook Administration Building
9501 South Martin Luther King Drive
Chicago, IL 60628.

# **Average Number of Employees**

Average number of University employees during the years ended June 30,

	2006		
Faculty and Staff	950	1,015	
Students	298	281_	
TOTAL	1,248	1,296	

# **Comparative Enrollment Statistics**

The data indicated below for head count is for the Spring enrollment and the credit hour data is for the entire academic year.

Lload County	2006	2005
Head Count:		
Undergraduate	4,790	4,619
Graduate	1,864	2,024
TOTAL	6,654	6,643
Credit Hours:		
Undergraduate	119,884	114,945
Graduate	26,849	26,658
TOTAL	146,733	141,603

# **Comparative Enrollment Statistics (Continued)**

University operational activity can be highlighted by the presentation of enrollment data.

# **Chicago State University Enrollment Summary '98-05:**

<u>Term</u>	<u>Undergraduates</u>	<u>Graduates</u>	<u>Total</u>
Fall '98	6,336	2,080	8,416
Fall '99	5,585	1,995	7,580
Fall '00	5,060	1,854	6,914
Fall '01	5,140	1,939	7,079
Fall '02	4,979	2,179	7,158
Fall '03	4,904	2,136	7,040
Fall '04	4,867	1,968	6,835
Fall '05	5,160	1,971	7,131

# Chicago State University Enrollment Demographics - Fall '05:

<u>Category</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percent</u>
Full-time	3,867	54.2%
Part-time	3,264	45.8%
Male	2,053	28.8%
Female	5,078	71.2%
Freshman	1,397	19.6%
Sophomores	906	12.7%
Juniors	1,218	17.1%
Seniors	1,575	22.1%
Other Undergraduates	64	0.9%
Graduates	1,971	27.6%

# Credit Hour Production - Fall '05 and Spring '06:

<u>College</u>	2006 <u>Credit Hour</u>
Arts & Science	44,403
Business	15,149
Education	30,666
Nursing	18,110
Special Programs	19,080
Undecided/Undeclared	5,673
TOTAL	133,081

# STATISTICAL SUMMARY

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENSES AND CHANGES IN NET ASSETS OPERATING REVENUES	FY 2006	FY 2005
Student tuition (net of scholarship allowances of \$7,721,000 and \$7,073,108)	\$ 22,437,090	\$ 19,572,632
Auxiliary enterprises (net of scholarship allowances of \$35,336 and \$34,893)	4,100,582	4,217,015
Grants and contracts (principally Federal)	31,181,924	24,568,607
Other sources	3,149,562	2,760,943
Total Operating Revenues	60,869,158	51,119,197
•		
OPERATING EXPENSES		24 424 222
Instruction	36,655,375	34,181,896
Research	3,863,448	2,340,702
Public service	6,192,057	6,261,285
Academic support	6,491,124	6,220,476
Student services	11,056,396	8,781,577
Institutional support	9,336,983	8,270,646
Operation and maintenance of plant	6,402,239	8,204,625
Scholarships and fellowships	5,075,461	5,086,431
Auxiliary enterprises	4,278,278	3,781,413
Depreciation	3,348,005	2,958,195
On-behalf State fringe benefits	13,402,670	14,427,031
Total Operating Expenses	106,102,036	100,514,277
OPERATING LOSS	(45,232,878)	(49,395,080)
NONOPERATING REVENUES (EXPENSES)		
State appropriations	38,660,300	38,845,285
State fringe benefits	13,402,670	14,427,031
Investment income	57,071	33,727
Interest on capital asset - related debt	(1,472,091)	(1,283,383)
•	50,647,950	52,022,660
Net nonoperating revenues		2,627,580
	5,415,072	2,027,300
Capital appropriations and grants	28,253,484	14,593,710
Loss on disposal of capital assets	(37,802)	(20,257)
Total other revenues	28,215,682	14,573,453
Increase in net assets	33,630,754	17,201,033
NET ASSETS		
Net assets, beginning of the year	78,558,803_	61,357,770
Net assets, end of the year	\$ 112,189,557	\$ 78,558,803
SELECTED ACCOUNT BALANCES		
Cash and investments	\$ 10,305,846	\$ 3,356,958
Capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation	136,396,279	103,457,913
Revenue bond payable	21,645,000	22,400,000
Accrued compensated absences	7,294,400	7,815,732
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION		
Employment statistics		
Faculty/administrative/civil service	950	1,015
Students	298	281
Total Employees	1,248	1,296
Student statistics	4 700	4 640
Undergraduate	4,790	4,619
Graduate	1,864	2,024
Total Students	6,654	6,643
Institutional cost per student	\$ <u>5,948</u>	\$ <u>5,543</u>

# COMPARATIVE SCHEDULE OF UNRESTRICTED CURRENT FUND EXPENDITURES PER FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT STUDENTS AS REPORTED TO THE BOARD OF HIGHER EDUCATION

	20	006	2005			
	Total Costs	Total Semester Cost Per Full-Time Equivalent	Total Costs	Total Semester Cost Per Full-Time Equivalent		
Direct salary	\$ 15,103,436	\$ 1,476	\$ 14,662,397	\$ 1,484		
Indirect instruction	1,798,137	176	2,002,113	203		
Departmental research	1,113,231	109	1,096,223	111		
Departmental overheads	6,408,244	626	5,018,668	508		
College or school overheads	1,859,567	182_	1,492,279	151_		
Subtotal of Department and						
College Cost	26,282,615	2,569	24,271,680	2,457		
Overhead support unique to						
college costs	4,832,642	472	4,451,864	451		
All other academic support	4,692,259	459	5,274,331	534		
Student services	3,597,365	352	3,333,462	337		
Institutional support	14,571,256	1,424	11,128,795	1,126_		
Subtotal of Department and						
College Costs with University Overheads	53,976,137	5,276	48,460,132	4,905		
Operation and maintenance of physical						
plant	6,877,269	672	6,301,919	638		
TOTAL OF ALL COSTS	\$ 60,853,406	\$ 5,948	\$ 54,762,051	\$ 5,543		

## **EMERGENCY PURCHASES**

The University made the following emergency purchases during FY06:

- Contracting for Microbial Mitigation Services (\$35,575) for 103 bathrooms in the Residence Hall for mold identified by an on-site inspection.
- Purchase of mobile home for HIV/AIDS community program (\$49,630).

# **ILLINOIS FIRST PROGRAMS**

According to University officials, and based upon our review of University records, the University received payments on the following Illinois First Projects during the current period:

Received from: Illinois Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity

Grant No.	Original Grant Amount	Amount received in FY 06
00-123518	\$ 5,000,000	\$2,500,000
00-126003	\$10,000,000	-
03-121556	\$ 100,000	44,149
04-120039	\$ 9,000,000	4,500,000

## **BOOKSTORE INFORMATION (Unaudited)**

The University has a contract with a bookstore for the period from June 1, 2000 through May 31, 2005. The contract with the bookstore had the following terms:

- 1. 8.5% of all gross revenues up to \$2,000,000; and
- 2. 9.5% of all gross revenues from \$2,000,000 to \$4,000,000; and
- 3. 11% of all gross revenues over \$4,000,000

During FY 05 the bookstore had gross sales of \$3,106,173. The University did not spend any money at the bookstore during FY 05. The bookstore has been given exclusive rights to sell books on campus.

# SCHEDULE OF FEDERAL AND NONFEDERAL EXPENDITURES

	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Percent</u>
Federal Funds Nonfederal Funds	\$ 23,816,737 83,795,192	22% 78%
TOTAL	\$ 107,611,929	100%

The Federal Funds come from the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards on pages 36-38, and do not include student loans awarded under the Federal Family Education Loan Program, the Federal Perkins Loan Program, or the Nursing Student Loan Program.

Total expenditures represent total operating and nonoperating expenses and the loss on disposal of capital assets reported on the University's Statement of Revenue, Expenses, and Changes in Net Assets.

# **SCHEDULE OF DEGREES CONFERRED**

The University conferred degrees during the years ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 as follows:

	2006	_2005_
Bachelors Degrees	631	699
Masters Degrees	368	356
Total Degrees conferred	999	1,055

# SCHEDULE OF TUITION AND FEE WAIVERS - UNDERGRADUATE

(in thousands of dollars)	Tu	Tuition		Fees		
	Number of Waivers	Value of Waivers		Number of Waivers		lue of aivers
MANDATORY WAIVERS						
(SUBTOTAL)	176	_\$	571.3	17	_\$	5.0
Veterans Grants & Scholarships	96		367.0	-		-
General Assembly	20		73.9	10		2.0
ROTC	32		83.0	7		3.0
Children of Employees	23		42.2	-		-
Senior Citizens	5		5.2	-		-
DISCRETIONARY WAIVERS						
(SUBTOTAL)	240	_\$	835.7	38		17.0
Civil Service	94		146.7	38		17.0
Academic/Other Talent	15		30.6	-		-
Athletic	73		381.8	-		-
Gender Equity in Intercollegiate Athletics	14		154.8	-		-
Student Need						
Special Programs	44		121.8	-		-
TOTAL	<u>416</u>	\$	1,407.0	55		22.0

# SCHEDULE OF TUITION AND FEE WAIVERS - GRADUATE

rui	Tuition		Fees		
Number of	Value of	Number of	Value of		
Waivers	Waivers	Waivers	Waivers		
45	\$ 96.4	8	\$ 4.0		
28	65.0	-	_		
7	15.6	6	3.0		
8	13.0	2	1.0		
2	2.8	-	-		
183	\$ 234.1	77	27.5		
54	71.2	30	8.5		
49	75.4	30	16.0		
5	10.2	-	-		
52	33.4	17	3.0		
23	43.9	-	-		
228	\$ 330.5	85	\$ 31.5		
	45 28 7 8 2 183 54 49 5 23	Waivers         Waivers           45         \$ 96.4           28         65.0           7         15.6           8         13.0           2         2.8           183         \$ 234.1           54         71.2           49         75.4           5         10.2           52         33.4           23         43.9	Waivers         Waivers         Waivers           45         \$ 96.4         8           28         65.0         -           7         15.6         6           8         13.0         2           2         2.8         -           183         \$ 234.1         77           54         71.2         30           49         75.4         30           5         10.2         -           52         33.4         17           23         43.9         -		

# STATE OF ILLINOIS

# CHICAGO STATE UNIVERSITY SPECIAL DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR AUDITS OF UNIVERSITIES UNIVERSITY REPORTING IN ACCORDANCE WITH UNIVERSITY GUIDELINES FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2006

The Auditor General requires that certain special data for audits of universities, specified in a memorandum dated July 25, 1983, be presented. The following information lettered (a) through (u), is provided in response to that requirement of the "University Guidelines - 1982 (As Amended 1997)". Our audit was made for the purposes described on page 3 of our financial audit report and pages 8-13 of our compliance examination report, and would not necessarily disclose all situations which might be at variance with the following statements.

## COMPLIANCE FINDINGS

(a) There were no findings related to University Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2006.

## INDIRECT COST REIMBURSEMENTS

- (b) Refer to page 48 of this report for the sources and applications of indirect cost reimbursements for the year ended June 30, 2006.
- (c) Refer to page 64 of this report for calculation of allowable indirect cost carryforward and required remittances to the Income Fund.

## **TUITION CHARGES AND FEES**

(d) Chicago State University did not divert tuition to auxiliary enterprise operations.

## AUXILIARY ENTERPRISES, ACTIVITIES AND ACCOUNTING ENTITIES

(e) To comply with University Guidelines adopted by the State of Illinois Legislative Audit Commission in 1982, the University maintains separate sub-fund accounting entities to enforce legal prohibitions against inter-entity subsidies. Separate accounting entities are maintained for, and these financial statements cover, the following auxiliary enterprises and activities.

Accounting entities as defined by the 1982 Legislative Audit Commission Guidelines and their primary revenue source are as follows:

## **Auxiliary Enterprises:**

## University Facilities System Revenue Bond Fund:

This entity operates the Student Union Building and receives revenues principally from Student Union fees, rental, and user fees, and leased bookstore and food service commissions.

## Parking Facilities:

The entity operates the University's parking facilities and receives revenues from parking fees.

## **Health Services:**

This entity includes student health related operations of the Wellness Center and Insurance Support Services.

# STATE OF ILLINOIS CHICAGO STATE UNIVERSITY

# SPECIAL DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR AUDITS OF UNIVERSITIES UNIVERSITY REPORTING IN ACCORDANCE WITH UNIVERSITY GUIDELINES FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2006

## **Activities:**

# **Public Services:**

This entity is used for the operations of non-credit continuing education programs, training institutes, seminars and short courses. Revenues are derived from fees charged to participants in its programs, courses, etc. In addition, it provides testing services to various departments and students with its revenues derived from fees charged to its users.

# Activities Services:

Service departments provide products or services to University departments. Revenue is produced through charge backs to users.

Revenues and expenditures are offset against each other and reported net in the University's financial statements presented in the Financial Statement section of this report. This entity includes the following services with revenues resulting from user charges:

Printing, duplicating, photocopying, plate making, and design services primarily to University departments.

Purchasing, controlling, and dispensing common supplies to University departments through Central Stores.

Providing teaching and instruction aids to students and departments.

Providing telecommunication and mailing services.

Providing and coordinating office machine maintenance service.

# Student Activities:

This entity includes mandatory student fees and the expenditure of all student activities approved by the student government such as student newspaper and athletic activities.

## Contract Courses:

This entity includes the tuition revenues of credit-bearing courses that are requested and fully paid for by government units, community organizations or private business.

## Plant Fund Assets:

The amount disclosed for the Revenue Bond Fund includes the cost of the land and Student Union building.

- (f) Refer to pages 66-69 of this report for financial statements of each accounting entity.
- (g) Refer to page 65 for calculations of current excess funds for each entity.
- (h) Auxiliary Enterprises and Activities received no support from appropriated State funds.
- (i) A Statement of Revenue, Expenses, and Changes in Net Assets for the bond indenture required accounts is presented on page 35 of the financial audit report.

# STATE OF ILLINOIS CHICAGO STATE UNIVERSITY SPECIAL DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR AUDITS OF UNIVERSITIES UNIVERSITY REPORTING IN ACCORDANCE WITH UNIVERSITY GUIDELINES FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2006

- (j) The University Auxiliary Facilities System Revenue Bond Fund accounting conforms to the terms of the bond issue.
- (k) The University established a Development Reserve in 1992 with the approval of the Board of Governors System to fund the planning costs associated with the construction of the Student Center and Residence Hall. The funding source was generated by an increase to student mandatory fees as approved by student referendum.

## UNIVERSITY RELATED ORGANIZATIONS

- (I) The University recognizes the Chicago State University Foundation (Foundation) as a University Related Organization. There are no organizations considered by the University to be "Independent Organizations" as defined in Section VII of "University Guidelines 1982 (As Amended 1997)".
- (m) The University did not receive cash payments from the Foundation for services provided. However, the Foundation provided direct support to the University as illustrated on page 70 of this report.
- (n) The University provided funds (primarily in the form of services) of approximately \$524,039 to the Foundation for the year ended June 30, 2006.
- (o) There are no cumulative unreimbursed subsidies from the University or appropriated funds to the Foundation.
- (p) There is no debt financing provided by the Foundation.

## OTHER TOPICS

- (q) Refer to page 45 for the Schedule of Cash, Temporary Cash Investments, and Investments as of June 30, 2006.
- (r) Income from the investment of pooled funds is regularly allocated and credited to the original sources of the funds.
- (s) Refer to pages 52, 53, and 55 for student enrollment and cost statistics.
- (t) The University acquired two parcels of real estate on the 9500 and 9600 blocks of South Cottage Grove during fiscal year 2006 at a cost of \$1,340,000. The Foundation has not purchased any real estate during the year ended June 30, 2006.
- (u) There are no certificates of participation (COPS) or participation in lease or purchase agreements involving COPS for the year ended June 30, 2006.

# STATE OF ILLINOIS CHICAGO STATE UNIVERSITY SPECIAL DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR AUDITS OF UNIVERSITIES FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2006

# SCHEDULE OF INDIRECT COST FUNDS TO BE DEPOSITED INTO THE UNIVERSITY INCOME FUND AS REQUIRED BY 1982 UNIVERSITY GUIDELINES (AS AMENDED 1997)

# CALCULATION SHEET FOR INDIRECT COST CARRYFORWARD

AMOUNT TO BE REMITTED TO THE INCOME FUND	NONE
Total Allowable Indirect Cost Carryforward (Sum of Lines 2, 3 and 4)	133,757
Encumbrances and Current Liabilities	(793)
Unallocated Reimbursements	-
Allowable Indirect Cost Carryforward Indirect cost reimbursements allocated for expenditure for the fiscal year completed (\$448,500 @ 30%)	134,550
Current Available Funds Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 128,072

STATE OF ILLINOIS CHICAGO STATE UNIVERSITY

# SCHEDULE OF EXCESS FUNDS CALCULATION BY ENTITY AS REQUIRED BY 1982 UNIVERSITY GUIDELINES (1997 AMENDED) FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2006

			AUXILIARY E	AUXILIARY ENTERPRISES				ACTIVITIES		
		Bond Revenue	Parking	Student Health	Total Auxiliary Enterprises	Public Services	Activity Services	Student Activities	Continuing Education	Total Activities
Current available funds Add: Cash and cash equivalents	**	\$2,009,977	\$ 825,965	\$ (50,291)	\$2,785,651	\$ 884,481	\$ 1,467,319	\$ (2,772,027)	\$ 781,015	\$ 360,788
Total current available funds	ď	A. 2,009,977	825,965	(50,291)	2,785,651	884,481	1,467,319	(2,772,027)	781,015	360,788
Working capital allowance Add: Highest month's expenditures Encumbrances and current liabilities paid in lapse period Deferred income / Refundable deposits Allowance for restoring inventory to normal level Allowance for sick leave / vacation payouts		2,441,672 9,742 153,121 112,460	340,645 21,415 2,655 -	915,845 54,998 52,373 - 33,826	3,698,162 86,155 208,149 - 248,207	120,394 29,051 15,449	1,814,332 211,385 66,493 45,275	1,158,121 112,363 39,385 - 79,419	149,325 18,327 - 15,619	3,242,172 371,126 54,834 66,493 151,218
Working capital allowance	ю	2,716,995	466,636	1,057,042	4,240,673	175,799	2,137,485	1,389,288	183,271	3,885,843
Current excess funds: Deduct B from A and enter here	<del>"</del> ا ن	C. \$ (707,018)	\$ 359,329	\$ (1,107,333)	(1,455,022)	\$ 708,682	\$ (670,166)	\$ (4,161,315)	\$ 597,744	(3,525,055)
Calculation of income fund remittance: An entity may offset excess capital or current funds within the entity. Enter the amount to be offset, if any, here	entity. D.									,
Enter the algebraic sum of C and D and remit the amount due, if any, for deposit in the Income Fund	, if any,				, ↔					, \$

۲i

က

For the purposes of determining the amounts due to the Income Fund, Chicago State University considers the total Activities and the total Auxillary Enterprises to be the level at which the excess funds calculations are to be made.

# STATE OF ILLINOIS CHICAGO STATE UNIVERSITY SPECIAL DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR AUDITS OF UNIVERSITIES AS OF JUNE 30, 2006

# **BALANCE SHEETS - ENTITIES**

# (With Comparative Totals as of June 30, 2005)

Auxiliary Enterprises

Activities

		Auxiliary Enterprises	S		ACTI	Activities				
	University							Total A	<b>Total All Entities</b>	
	Facilities		Student					(Memora	(Memorandum Only)	~
	Revenue	Parking	Health	Public	Activities	Student	Contract			
	Bond Fund	Facilities	Services	Services	Services	Activities	Courses	2006	~	2005
CURRENT UNRESTRICTED FUND ASSETS:										
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 2,009,977	\$ 825,965	•	\$ 884,481	\$ 1,467,319	· \$	\$ 781,015	\$ 5,968,757	₩	5,295,796
Accounts receivable, net	320,908	10,745	262,992	19,602	13,978	342,911	99,432	1,070,568		412,365
Prepaid expenses	1,685					237		1,922		
Other assets	93,825	•		•	•		•	93,825		93,825
Inventories	•	•		•	59,592	•		59,592		66,493
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 2,426,395	\$ 836,710	\$ 262,992	\$ 904,083	\$ 1,540,889	\$ 343,148	\$ 880,447	\$ 7,194,664	\$	5,868,479
CURRENT UNRESTRICTED FUND LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE:										
Bank overdraft	· •	, 49	\$ 50,291	•	· \$	\$ 2,722,027	ا چ	\$ 2,772,318	49	2,779,218
Accounts payable	(61,891)	20,478	54,334	6,780	174,504	63,422	12,741	270,368		456,947
Deposits	72,904	•	•	•	10,066		•	82,970		31,809
Deferred revenue	80,208	2,655	52,373	15,449	•	39,385		190,070		150,378
Accrued compensated absences	112,451	101,921	33,826	10,905	45,275	79,419	15,618	399,415		394,716
Fund balances (deficit), unrestricted	-			870,949	1,311,044	(2,561,105)	852,088	3,479,523		2,055,411
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE	\$ 2,426,395	\$ 836,710	\$ 262,992	\$ 904,083	\$ 1,540,889	\$ 343,148	\$ 880,447	\$ 7,194,664	₩	5,868,479
PLANT FUNDS ASSETS:										
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 192,816	\$ 119,233	· <del>У</del>	, 49	\$ 2,701,568	\$ 54,849	•	\$ 3,068,466	<del>\$</del>	373,103
Investments	1,230,347	•	1	•	•	•	•	1,230,347		1,709,298
Investment in plant:										
Buildings & Improvements	24,750,153	884,065	•	1,908	6,287,288	19,538	274	31,943,226	က	32,093,024
Fumiture and equipment	- 1	`	•	249,171	304,839	188,977	(189,899)	3,576,108		3,465,157
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 28,488,096	\$ 1,711,538	•	\$ 251,079	\$ 9,293,695	\$ 263,364	\$ (189,625)	\$ 39,818,147	€9	37,640,582
PLANT FUNDS LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE:										
Accounts payable	\$ 135,610	' \$	, 69	' \$	\$ 1,025,636	· •	· •	\$ 1,161,246	₩	723,609
Bond payable	21,645,000	•	•		•	•	•	21,645,000	7	22,400,000
Performance contract notes payable	•	•	•	•	4,695,869			4,695,869		4,695,869
Premium on bonds	392,988	•	•		•	•		392,988		415,444
Fund balances(deficit):	6,314,498	1,711,538		- 1	3,572,190	263,364	(189,625)	-	- 1	9,405,660
Net investment in plant	\$ 28,488,096	\$ 1,711,538	₩	\$ 251,079	\$ 9,293,695	\$ 263,364	\$ (189,625)	\$ 39,818,147	₩	37,640,582

# STATE OF ILLINOIS CHICAGO STATE UNIVERSITY SPECIAL DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR AUDITS OF UNIVERSITIES FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2006

# STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND TRANSFERS CURRENT UNRESTRICTED FUNDS

(with comparative totals for the Year Ended June 30, 2005)

	Au	<b>Auxiliary Enterprises</b>	Se		Activities	ties			
	University							Total All Entities	Entities
	Facilities		Student					(Memorandum Only)	um Only)
	Revenue Bond Fund	Parking Facilities	Health Services	Public Services	Activities Services	Student Activities	Contract	2006	2005
REVENUES:									
Operating	\$3,382,843	\$1,024,970	\$ 63,513	\$ 348,081	\$3,210,842	\$ 469,490	\$ 806,203	\$ 9,305,942	\$ 8,490,165
Student fees	2,016,853	•	1,752,283	161,362	•	1,555,212	•	5,485,710	5,226,941
State fringe benefits	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		1,363,708
Other	99,763		270	31,952	55,828	18,157	•	205,970	135,635
TOTAL REVENUES	5,499,459	1,024,970	1,816,066	541,395	3,266,670	2,042,859	806,203	14,997,622	15,216,449
EXPENDITURES:									
Cost of sales		•	•	•	609,012	•	•	609,012	908'889
Personal services	849,740	509,337	289,188	251,634	769,379	662,946	376,791	3,709,015	5,699,987
Expended for plant	10,241	9,461	61,144	2,830	104,598	7,305	735	196,314	244,349
Commodities	20,109	33,865	41,222	19,189	107,889	119,968	8,447	350,689	391,555
Contractual services	1,113,280	75,086	1,430,298	68,185	377,815	823,651	66,320	3,954,635	3,905,876
Other (travel, telecommunications, excess finds refinds find transfers)	3 230	58 R21	1 688	2 2 2 4 7	24 242	270 488	1 363	362 049	254 650
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	1,996,600	686,570	1,823,540	344,055	1,992,935	1,884,358	453,656	9,181,714	11,086,223
Principal and interest	3.276.285	290.000	,	13.040	775.739	,	36.732	4.391.796	2.640.398
Total Transfers Out	3,276,285	290,000		13,040	775,739	•	36,732	4,391,796	2,640,398
TOTAL EXPENDITURES AND									
TRANSFERS OUT	5,272,885	976,570	1,823,540	357,095	2,768,674	1,884,358	490,388	13,573,510	13,726,621
EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF PEVENIES OVER EXPENDITIBES									
AND TRANSFERS OUT	\$ 226,574	\$ 48,400	\$ (7,474)	\$ 184,300	\$ 497,996	\$ 158,501	\$ 315,815	\$ 1,424,112	\$ 1,489,828

# STATE OF ILLINOIS CHICAGO STATE UNIVERSITY SPECIAL DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR AUDITS OF UNIVERSITIES FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2006

# STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES CURRENT UNRESTRICTED FUNDS

(With Comparative Totals for the Year Ended June 30, 2005)

**Auxiliary Enterprises** 

Activities

	University Facilities		Student							Total All Entities (Memorandum Only)	Entitie dum Or	s Ily)
	Revenue Bond Fund	Parking Facilities	Health	ا	Public Services	Activities Services	Student Activities	Contract		2006		2005
REVENUES	\$ 5,499,459	\$ 1,024,970	\$ 1,816,066	\$ 990	541,395	\$ 3,266,670	\$ 2,042,859	\$ 806,203	€	14,997,622	8	15,216,449
EXPENDITURES: Educational and general Auxiliary enternise	- 96.600	- 886.570	1,823,540	240	344,055	1,992,935	1,884,358	453,656		6,498,544		6,504,513
Total Expenditures	1,996,600	686,570	1,823,540	64   64 	344,055	1,992,935	1,884,358	453,656		9,181,714		11,086,223
TRANSFERS: Principal and interest	(3,276,285)	(290,000)			(13,040)	(775,739)	,	(36,732)		(4,391,796)		(2,640,398)
Total Transfers Out	(3,276,285)	(290,000)			(13,040)	(775,739)		(36,732)		(4,391,796)		(2,640,398)
Net Increase (Decrease) in Fund Balance	226,574	48,400	(2)	(7,474)	184,300	497,996	158,501	315,815		1,424,112		1,489,828
Fund Balance (Deficit), Beginning of Year	1,996,149	663,256	79,	79,642	686,649	813,048	(2,719,606)	536,273		2,055,411		565,583
FUND BALANCE (DEFICIT) END OF YEAR	\$ 2,222,723	\$ 711,656	\$ 72,	72,168 \$	870,949	\$ 1,311,044	\$ (2,561,105)	\$ 852,088	↔	3,479,523	မ	2,055,411

# STATE OF ILLINOIS CHICAGO STATE UNIVERSITY SPECIAL DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AUDITS OF UNIVERSITIES FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2006

# STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES PLANT FUNDS

# (With Comparative totals for the Year Ended June 30, 2005)

	Auxiliary En	Enterprises		Activ	Activities			
	University Facilities						Total All Entities (Memorandum Only)	Entities dum Only)
	Revenue Bond Fund	Parking Facilities	Public Services	Activities Services	Student Activities	Continuing Education	2006	2005
REVENUES AND OTHER ADDITIONS:		•	•	•	•	•	;	
Interest Income	\$ 57,071	. ·	·	ا <del>دی</del>	· •	' \$	\$ 57,071	\$ 33,727
Expended for plant	34,245	9,461		•		•	43,706	280,457
Ketirement of debt	755,000	- 0		1	1	•	755,000	725,000
lotal Revenues and Other Additions	846,316	9,461		•			855,77	1,039,184
<b>EXPENDITURES AND OTHER DEDUCTIONS:</b>								
Principal and interest on Debt	1,824,384	ı	1	402,707	1	ı	2,227,091	2,008,383
Property disposals	8,740		•	•	1,663	3,658	14,061	93,172
Contractual and other deductions	489,037	•					489,037	164,574
Total Expenditures and Other Deductions	2,322,161	•		402,707	1,663	3,658	2,730,189	2,266,129
TRANSFERS IN:								
Principal and interest	1,824,384	•	•	1,067,412		1	2,891,796	2,640,398
Total Transfers In (Out)	3,324,384			1.067.412	, ,		4.391.796	2.640.398
Net Increase (Decrease) in Fund Balance	1,848,539	9,461	r	664,705	(1,663)	(3,658)	2,517,384	1,413,453
Fund Balance (Deficit), Beginning of Year	4,465,959	1,702,077	251,079	2,907,485	265,027	(185,967)	9,405,660	7,992,207
FUND BALANCE (DEFICIT), END OF YEAR	\$ 6,314,498	\$ 1,711,538	\$ 251,079	\$3,572,190	\$ 263,364	\$ (189,625)	\$ 11,923,044	\$ 9,405,660

# STATE OF ILLINOIS CHICAGO STATE UNIVERSITY SPECIAL DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR AUDITS OF UNIVERSITIES FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2006

# SUMMARY OF FOUNDATION CASH SUPPORT TO THE UNIVERSITY

The Chicago State University Foundation (Foundation) is considered a University-related organization under section VI of the University guidelines. Its contractual relationship to the University is described in "related party transactions", Note 8 of the Foundation's financial statements. During the current fiscal year, the University provided administrative support services valued at \$524,039 to the Foundation. The contract requires the Foundation to provide the University with fund-raising and other services. These services resulted in the Foundation's unrestricted expenditures described below, which qualify as "reimbursements" to the University in the University guidelines computation. Current year Foundation-restricted expenditures, which do not qualify as "reimbursements", are also described below.

Expenditures Considered Unrestricted for Purposes of the Guidelines Computation:

Totally Unrestricted	\$ 211,271
Restricted Only as to College or Department	383,524
Total Funds Considered Unrestricted	\$ 594,795
Expenditures Considered Restricted for Purposes of the Guideline Computations:	
Given for Scholarships Total Funds Considered Restricted	\$ 264,017 \$ 264,017
TOTAL FUNDS PROVIDED BY THE FOUNDATION TO SUPPORT THE UNIVERSITY	\$ 858,812